

BUDGET COMMITTEE**APRIL 30, 2004****2004 OPERATING BUDGET - BUDGET INITIATIVES**

(Referred from the Budget Committee Meeting of April 16 and April 21, 2004)

The Budget Committee, at its meeting of April 16 and April 21, 2004 recommended:

That this matter be referred to the Budget Committee meeting of April 30, 2004.

The Budget Committee, at its meeting of March 31, 2004 recommended:

That this matter be referred to the Budget Committee meeting of April 8, 2004.

The Budget Committee, at its meeting of March 12, 2004 recommended:

- 1) That this matter be referred to the next Budget Committee meeting;
- * 2) That staff provide two separate lists of the 2004 Budget Initiatives; a list of initiatives to be discussed in open session and a list of initiatives that require to be discussed in closed session; and
- 3) That the deputation of Mr. Paul De Buono, Vaughan Watch, P.O. Box 5126, Vaughan, L6A 1R6, be received.
** Please see Attachments 1 & 2 on Page 6.2*

Report of the City Manager dated March 12, 2004.**Recommendation**

The City Manager, in consultation with the Senior Management Team recommends:

That the budget initiatives contained within the attached document "2004 Budget Initiatives vers.12" be received and included in the multi-year operating budget plan.

Purpose

To provide the Committee with a list of Budget initiatives for the 2004 operating budget.

Background

The dramatic growth of the city over the past ten years and challenges confronting the corporation regarding the funding of major non-controllable expenses in the 2004 operating budget (Fire Halls, OMERS, library etc.) has put enormous pressure on the achievement of a balanced 2004 operations budget. To relieve some of this pressure, a budget initiative study was undertaken.

The objective was to identify:

- Service delivery options that will have the greatest impact on the operating budget;
- Service delivery options that will have an immediate impact on the 2004 operating budget;
- Service delivery options that do not simply ask city staff to do 'more with less';

- Service delivery options that will be viewed as 'sound fiscal choices' by constituents.
- Services Assessment

Services were reviewed under the following criteria:

- What is it?
- Why are we doing it? (legislated, essential, value added, redundant etc.)
- How does it add value?
- How does it compare to other municipalities?
- How does it fit the strategic direction of the city?
- Can it be delivered within the cost projections provided for the 2004 budget?
- Is it being delivered efficiently?
- Are there alternative delivery options? (quality, quantity, frequency, etc.)

Resources Evaluation

Recognizing the funding challenges of the 2004 operating budget, identified services were also reviewed and evaluated as follows:

Sacred Cows:	Any activity that occurs "just because" and adds no value to core program delivery or city operations;
Barnacles:	Any activity or process that has been created or creates bureaucracy;
Tough Choices:	These are the "nice to have" but we can do with out" activities.
Breakthrough Ideas:	Creative, innovative solutions that will save money and create or add value, particularly to core services.

Extensive interviews with the members of SMT, Directors and selected Managers has resulted in the final compilation of the 2004 Budget Initiative List.

Relationship to Vaughan Vision 2007

To realistically 'action' VV2007 initiatives, (appropriately prioritized and time-lined) and implement a performance management process to guide its development, the City Strategic Plan and the budgeting process must to be linked together. More specifically, the City's Strategic Plan must be implemented *within available resources*. When funding is not available, there are only two choices; increase revenues or re-allocate existing resources.

The re-allocation of resources should be managed through a strategic performance management program. Since this will be implemented in 2004, a series of interim initiatives must be undertaken to match the City's strategic direction to *available resources* – moving the strategy ahead with a balanced budget (VV 2007 Objective 2.1: "Ensure long term financial stability").

Conclusion

Receive and include in the 2004 operating budget, the 2004 budget initiatives as submitted March 12, 2004.

Attachments

Attachment #1 - 2004 Budget Initiatives vers. 12E-2

Attachment #2 - 2004 Budget Initiatives vers. 12E-1 (Confidential – Under Separate Cover)

Attachment 1
Item 3
Budget Committee
April 30, 04

2004 Budget Initiatives ver. 12E-2		Revenue Increase/(Expense Reduction)/Productivity Initiatives										
Budget Initiative		Revenue Increase Annual	Revenue Increase 2004	Revenue Increase 2005	Revenue Increase 2006	Expense Decrease Annual	Expense Decrease 2004	Expense Decrease 2005	Expense Decrease 2006	One Time Investment	Recurring Expense	Comment
1. FIRE SERV	Review the "planning" plan for the opening of the New Fire Hall											TBD
1. FIRE SERV	Review and make recommendations for the vehicular accident response policy.											Currently, Fire Services bills \$750 flat fee for accident response to the insurance companies.
2. BLS. SER.	Apply the pool Permit Inspection fee of \$100 after the second inspection instead of the third. Currently By-Law Enforcement receives \$100 after the third inspection.	22,000	14,520	7,480								
3. BLS. SER.	Establish a Private Parking Company Registration Fee. At present, By-Law Enforcement has approximately 12 companies that are contracted by private property owners and therefore must be approved by the City as they issue City parking tickets.	10,000	6,000	3,400								
4. BLS. SER.	Establish Fees for Private Property Parking Enforcement - The current practice is for private property owners to simply send a letter of authorization. Revenue can be realized by having the property owner enter into an agreement for service delivery. This agreement would establish an hourly rate to be paid to the City for enforcement along with the number of hours the owner wishes to have enforcement per week or month. Examples of property owners are, shopping malls, industrial complexes, school boards, and condominiums.	50,000	33,000	17,000								

5

3/25/04

2004 Budget Initiatives ver. 12E-2		Revenue Increase/Expense Reduction/Productivity Initiatives		Revenue Increase/Expense Reduction/Productivity Initiatives		Revenue Increase/Expense Reduction/Productivity Initiatives	
	Budget Initiative	Revenue Increase Annual	Revenue Increase 2004	Revenue Increase 2005	Revenue Increase 2006	Expense Decrease Annual	Expense Decrease 2004
BL Est.	Increase the minimum parking fines for all parking offences by \$5.00. Presently, 32,000 tickets are written annually and the fines range for the common offences from \$20 - \$30. Fine route, interfering with snow removal are \$75. and disabled fines are \$300. The handicapped fines are established by provincial legislation and would not be increased.						
6	BL Est.						
7	SAF						
8	Parks						
9	Parks						
10	Parks						
11							

Revenue Increase/Expense Reduction/Productivity Initiatives									
		Revenue Increase Annual	Revenue Increase 2004	Revenue Increase 2005	Revenue Increase 2006	Expense Decrease Annual	Expense Decrease 2004	Expense Decrease 2005	Expense Decrease 2006
12	REC	Initiate and complete a User Fee Study - The User Fee Policy must establish an equitable balance across all constituent groups, be easy to communicate and maximize User fee revenues for Best Value Services within available resources.							
13	REC	Update the Recreation and Culture Strategic Master Plan (to be completed 12/31/04)							
14	PW	Implement a garbage bag/container limit Considerations should be given to phasing in a bag limit over a two year period. (Refer to item 4, Report No. 82 of the Committee of the Whole (Special - Operating Budget) of February 10, 2003.) 2 bag household* = \$271.452. [* Base on 67,863 households - three bag limit]	271,452	179,158	92,294				
15	PW	Implement a \$10.00 per lot* blue box fee to developers, to pay for blue boxes for new homes (currently 2 free per household). This fee requirement would be incorporated in the subdivision/site plan agreement. The monies would be paid to the City of Vaughan Public Works Department (Waste Management Section) to cover the cost of the blue boxes. If the developer does not pay within a certain time frame (as stipulated in the agreement), the Public Works Department would draw on the developer's Letter of Credit for the requisite amount. Fifteen dollars covers the cost of the blue box (approx. \$5.00 each x 2 blue boxes, plus an additional							
								-67,500	-44,550
									-22,950

*e.g. One free - additional fees apply - based on household size

Revenue Increase/Expense Reduction/Productivity Initiatives									
		Revenue Increase Annual	Revenue 2004	Revenue Increase 2005	Revenue Increase 2006	Expense Decrease Annual	Expense Decrease 2004	Expense Decrease 2005	Expense Decrease 2006
	Budget Initiative								
16	PW	Eliminate contracted fall street sweeping in 2004. This is a provisional item that v can potentially eliminate for 2004. Reduced operating expenses for 2004. However, this may not be sustainable fo 2005 or beyond due to the City's rapid growth. The City only has 2 sweepers, and with the growth in road kilometers t be swept, this is most likely a one time deal.				-191,000	-126,060	-64,940	
17	PW	Eliminate twice a week garbage collection. (Calendarization may impact 2004 total savings)							
18	ENR	Eliminate driveway windrow clearing in 2005. After the second year of the contract we could eliminate the contracted residential driveway windrow cleaning altogether. After the contract expires, the annual savings from the Operating Budget will be the full \$1,024M). This contract could be cancelled in 2004 – contract cancellation penalty TBA				-461,000	-304,260	-156,740	
19		Increase Engineering Fees to include 10% consulting fees in the cost of service.							

				Revenue Increase/Expense Reduction/Productivity Initiatives								
		Revenue Increase Annual	Revenue Increase 2004	Revenue Increase 2005	Revenue Increase 2006	Expense Decrease Annual	Expense Decrease 2004	Expense Decrease 2005	Expense Decrease 2006	One Time Investment	Recurring Expense	Comment:
2004 Budget Initiatives ver. 12E-2	Budget Initiative											
20	ITB - Tax	Move from 3rd party software (Access data base software) to JD Edwards, EIS software for production of the City's financial statements and Provincial financial information report. Reduces manual intervention and improves the timeliness and accuracy of financial reporting.				-1,000	-660	-340	10,000			
21	ITB - Tax	Move to a digital cheque signer from mechanical process for improved and increased staff efficiency as a result of a digital process as opposed to a mechanical process.				-1,000	-660	-340	7,000			
22	ITB - Tax	Increase the Tax Certificate Fee \$50 to \$60	30,000	19,800	10,200							
23	ITB - Tax	Lower the threshold for bid to \$35,000 from \$100,000 (fee depending on required goods/services)	7,000	4,620	2,380							
24	ITB - Tax	Budget Reduction (Photocopying, Training, Office Supplies)				-7,000	-4,620	-2,380				
25	ITB - Tax	E-Procurement (BID DOCUMENTS ON DEMAND)				-5,000	-3,300	-1,700				
26	ITB - Tax	Increase existing Bid Fees (increments of \$992.025) - see input sheet for breakdown.	5,000	3,300	1,700							
27	ITB - Tax	Undertake a Crossing Guard Program review focusing on comparisons with other municipalities, safety and deployment of human resources. The current annual expense of this program is \$992,025.										Cathi Berger is preparing a more detailed analysis. See Bud. Comm report 2/27/04 # 2
28	ITB - Tax	Develop a recruitment advertising policy. The immediate reduction is 10%. Further cost reductions will be implemented with the deployment of the policy.										
29	ITB - Tax	Printing cost reduction - NCR Forms				-10,000	-6,600	-3,400	-990	-510		
						-1,500						

2004 Budget Initiatives ver. 12E-2

			Revenue Increase Annual	Revenue Increase 2004	Revenue Increase 2005	Revenue Increase 2006	Revenue Increase 2006	Expense Decrease Annual	Expense Decrease 2004	Expense Decrease 2005	Expense Decrease 2006	One Time Investment	Recurring Expense	Comment
		Budget Initiative												
20	FIS-IR	Health & Safety Budget Reduction						-5,000	-3,300	-1,700				
31	TBS-IR	Move to Schedule 2 WSB (Salts Funding)						-400,000	-264,000	-136,000				
32	TBS-Bd	\$20,000 or 18% Discretionary Expense Reduction						-20,000	-13,200	-6,800				
		Net Expense Reduction & Additional						-15,000	-9,900	-5,100				
33	Urban	Admin Revenue												
	Kleinburg Heritage Study - Public Consultation for Detailed Streetscape Plan for the Kleinburg Core and Northgate Road													
34	Urban	Vellore District Study - Urban Design Guidelines for the Vellore District Centre												
35	UICmp	GO Station and Bridge in Maple - Design development in conjunction with Civic Centre design (item to be included in other capital budget)												
		Increase planning application fees.	133,000	133,000										
		(Approved by Council 12/03)												
36	PLAN	Reduce professional fees for planning studies identified throughout the year. (Note 1 : Special interest or comprehensive planning studies would not go forward to address constituent concerns, would be deferred until staff resources could be identified, would be incomplete if necessary expertise not available on staff.)												
		Undertake a full process review in preparation for the implementation of Bill C124.						-180,000	-118,800	-61,200				
39		Column Totals	750,432	555,590	202,464	0	0	-2,499,000	-472,500	-1,013,500	-512,000	352,000		

2004 Budget Initiatives ver. 12E-2		Revenue Increase/Expense Reduction/Productivity Initiatives									
Budget Initiative	Revenue Increase Annual	Revenue Increase 2004	Revenue Increase 2005	Revenue Increase 2006	Expense Decrease Annual	Expense Decrease 2004	Expense Decrease 2005	Expense Decrease 2006	One Time Investment	Recurring Expense	Comment
Total Summaries											
Total revenue increases (2004 + 2005 + 2006)	758,432										
Total expense reductions (2004 + 2005 + 2006)	-3,494,000										
One time productivity investment	322,000										
TOTAL BENEFIT: (Revenue Increases + Expense Savings - one time expenses)	2,925,432										