#### FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE

#### **Council Expenditure Budgets**

#### Recommendation

The Council Budgets Task Force recommends:

- 1. That Option #6 as described in the attached report be approved; and
- 2. That the Council Member 2011 budgets be adjusted accordingly.

### Contribution to Sustainability

N/A

#### **Economic Impact**

There are no economic impacts of this report, as the option proposed by the Council Budgets Task Force does not result in an increase in overall Council budgets.

### **Communications Plan**

N/A

#### **Purpose**

The purpose of this report is to convey to the Finance and Administration Committee the Council Budgets Task Force's preferred option for allocating funds to discretionary Council Member budgets.

## **Background - Analysis and Options**

On February 7, 2011, the Finance and Administration Committee recommended that a sub-committee composed of Councillors Carella, DeFrancesca, lafrate and Racco be established to address the issues raised by Members of Council with respect to Council Expenditure Budgets. The sub-committee was directed to report back to the Finance and Administration Committee meeting of February 22, 2011.

The sub-committee (the Council Budgets Task Force) met on February 11<sup>th</sup> and February 16<sup>th</sup> to consider options that would address the issue of allocation of budget funds to Council discretionary budgets.

Following the discussion at the February 11<sup>th</sup> meeting, Finance staff provided 7 options for the Task Force's consideration on February 16<sup>th</sup>. The options illustrated various methodologies for allocating additional funds to the Ward Councillors.

The Council Budgets Task Force has recommended Option #6, as set out in the attached report. Pursuant to the Procedural By-law, a matter considered by a sub-committee that requires a decision of Council shall be separately reported to the appropriate committee, which is the purpose of this report.

#### Relationship to Vaughan Vision 2020/Strategic Plan

## Regional Implications

There are no Regional implications associated with this report.

## Conclusion

The Council Budgets Task Force's has recommended Option #6, as set out in the attached report.

## **Attachments**

Report to the Council Budgets Tax Force Meeting - February 16, 2011

## Report prepared by:

Barb Cribbett, CMA Commissioner of Finance/City Treasurer

Respectfully submitted,

Barbara Cribbett, CMA Commissioner of Finance, City Treasurer

Attachment 1

## COUNCIL BUDGETS TASK FORCE MEETING - FEBRUARY 16, 2011

#### COUNCIL EXPENDITURE BUDGETS

The Director of Budgeting and Financial Planning recommends that:

The following report on the Council Discretionary Expense Budget options be received for information and discussion purposes;

That the Council Budget Task Force provide direction on the preferred option to present to the Finance and Administration Committee.

## Recommendation:

N/A

#### Contribution to Sustainability

Sustainability by definition focuses on the ability to maintain a function over a period of time. Responsible budgeting allocates resources in a responsible way to sustain the City's operations and aspirations, balancing both current and future requirements.

## **Economic Impact**

N/A

## Communications Plan

N/A

#### Purpose

The purpose of this report is to provide Council Budget Task Force with additional information and details regarding the Council Discretionary Expense Budget options and associated outcome discussed on February 11, 2011.

## Background - Analysis and Options

On February 11, 2011, The Council Budget Task Force directed staff to advised on the following information:

- a) That the budget of each Ward Councillor as currently calculated be augmented by funds derived from the reduction in the multiplier used in determining the budgets of Regional Councillors from 50% of the population of the City to 33.3% of the population, and that the allocation of the budgets for Ward Councillors be calculated in such a way as to give consideration to both the population of each Ward and the percentage of the number of businesses in each Ward; and
- b) That alternative options also be provided without reducing the Regional Councillors' budgets

In response to this request, the enclosed attachments reflect a summary and detail of options and budget changes.

## Relationship to Vaughan Vision 2020/Strategic Plan

The Draft 2011/2012 Operating Budget is the process to allocate and approve the resources necessary to continue operations and implement Council's approved plans.

## Regional Implications

There are no regional implications associated with this report.

## Conclusion

Various Council Discretionary Expense Budget options have been provided to the Council Budget Task Force for review.

Staff is requesting the Council Budget Task Force select the preferred option to be presented to the Finance and Administration Committee.

## **Attachments**

Attachment #1-Summary of Council Budgets Task Force Options Attachment #2-Council Budgets Task Force – Option Detail

## Report prepared by:

John Henry, CMA Director of Budgeting & Financial Planning Ext. 8384.

Respectfully submitted.

√John Henry,

Director of Budgeting & Financial Planning

#### Attachment #1

## Summary of Council Budget Task Force Options

	·					2011	<ul> <li>Discretion</li> </ul>	nary Budget Option					
Councillor		Option	1 1	Option	1 2	Optio	n 3	Option 4 & 6 (8	ame)	Option 5		Option 7	
Councillor	Draft Budget	Reg. alloc.	Budget	Reg. alloc.	Budget	Reg. alloc.	Budget	Reg. alloc.	Budget	Reg. alloc.	Budget	Reg. whole.	Budget
		Bus. Based	Adjust.	\$0.75/Ward	Adjust.	Avg.	Adjust.	2/3 res. 1/3 bus.	Adjust.	3/4 res. 1/4 bus.	Adjust.	2/3 res. 1/3 bus.	Adjust.
Mayor Bevilacqua	110,625	110,625	•	110,625	- ]	110,625	•	110,625	-	110,625	-	110,625	(1)
Regional Councillor Rosati	73,750	49,167	(24,583)	49,167	(24,583)	49,167	(24,583)	49,167	(24,583)	49,167	(24,583)	73,751	1 1
Regional Councillor Michael Di Biase	73,750	49,167	(24,583)	49,167	(24,583)	49,167	(24,583)	49,167	(24,583)	49,167	(24,583)	73,751	1
Regional Councillor Deb Schulte	73,750	49,167	(24,583)	49,167	(24,583)	49,167	(24,583)	49,167	(24,583)	49,167	(24,583)	73,751	1 1
Ward 1 Councillor lafrate	31,250	35,093	3,843	46,875	15,625	40,984	9,734	42,948	11,698	43,930	12,680	42,948	11,698
Ward 2 Councillor Carella	31,250	41,056	9,806	46,875	15,625	43,966	12,716	44,936	13,686	45,421	14,171	44,936	13,686
Ward 3 Councillor DeFrancesca	29,000	45,303	16,303	43,500	14,500	44,401	15,401	44,101	15,101	43,951	14,951	44,101	15,101
Ward 4 Councillor Yeung Racco	21,000	56,212	35,212	31,500	10,500	43,854	22,854	39,737	18,737	37,678	16,678	39,737	18,737
Ward 5 Councillor Shefman	35,000	43,584	8,584	52,499	17,499	48,042	13,042	49,528	14,528	50,271	15,271	49,528	14,528
Totals	479,375	479,375	-	479,375	-	479,372	(3)	479,377	2	479,376	1	553,130	73,755
Tax rate adjustment													0.051%
Average Ward Councillor	29,500	44,250	14,750	44,250	14,750	44,249	14,749	44,250	14,750	44,250	14,750	44,250	14,750

## Attachment #2

# **Council Budgets Task Force - Option Detail**

Ward Data	2011 Pop.	Est.	2010 # of Busi	ness Est.	2010 Employment Est.	
Ward 1	62,500	21%	475	5%	11266	7%
Ward 2	62,500	21%	1212	13%	19885	12%
Ward 3	58,000	20%	2015	22%	30380	19%
Ward 4	42,000	14%	4352	48%	86763	54%
Ward 5	70,000	24%	1061	12%	11961	7%
Total	295,000	100%	9115		160255	_

CPI 2006-2010 - cumm. 6.58% CPI Adj. rate \$ 0.53

OPTION #1 - Regional population assignment = 1/3 & Ward Council assigned a business rate in addition to the existing budget

Ward Other <u>Inputs</u> Mayor Regional Population assignment 75% 33.33% Per Data \$0.50 Discretionary population rate Discretionary business rate

\$8.09

				Discre	tionary I	Expenditure	Formula		Budget Comparison			
Compillor	Salaries	Applied Data		Applied	Rates	Discretionar	y sub-totals	Total	Revised	Original		
Councillor	& Benefits							Discretionary	2011	2011	Change	
		Pop.	# of Bus.	Pop.	Bus,	Residential	Business	(Opt. 1 Res +Bus.)	Budget	Budget		
Mayor Bevilacqua	\$138,916	221,250	T	\$0.50	\$8.09	\$110,625	\$0	\$110,625	\$249,541	\$249,541	\$0	
Regional Councillor Rosati	\$89,548	98,333		\$0.50	\$8.09	\$49,167	\$0	\$49,167	\$138,715	\$163,298	(\$24,583)	
Regional Councillor Michael Di Biase	\$89,548	98,333		\$0.50	\$8.09	\$49,167	\$0	\$49,167	\$138,715	\$163,298	(\$24,583)	
Regional Councillor Deb Schulte	\$89,548	98,333		\$0.50	\$8.09	\$49,167	\$0	\$49,167	\$138,715	\$163,298	(\$24,583)	
Ward 1 Councillor lafrate	\$89,548	62,500	475	\$0.50	\$8.09	\$31,250	\$3,843	\$35,093	\$124,641	\$120,798	\$3,843	
Ward 2 Councillor Carella	\$89,548	62,500	1,212	\$0.50	\$8.09	\$31,250	\$9,806	\$41,056	\$130,604	\$120,798	\$9,806	
Ward 3 Councillor DeFrancesca	\$89,548	58,000	2,015	\$0.50	\$8.09		\$16,303	\$45,303	\$134,851	\$118,548	\$16,303	
Ward 4 Councillor Yeung Racco	\$89,548	42,000	4,352	\$0.50	\$8.09		\$35,212	, ,	\$145,760	\$110,548		
Ward 5 Councillor Shefman	\$89,548	70,000	1,061	\$0.50	\$8.09	\$35,000	\$8,584	\$43,584	\$133,132	\$124,548	\$8,584	
Totals	\$855,298	811,250	9,115			\$405,626		\$479,375	\$1,334,675	\$1,334,675	\$0.0	



# **Council Budgets Task Force - Option Detail**

# OPTION #2 - Regional population assignment = 1/3 & Ward Council population rate changed to \$0.75

<u>Inputs</u>	Mayor	Regional	Ward	Other
Population assignment	75%	33.33%	Per Data	
Discretionary population rate (Mayor/Reg.)				\$0.50
Discretionary population rate (Ward)				\$0.75
Discretionary business rate				\$0.00

		·····		Discre	tionary l	Expenditure	Formula		Budget Comparison			
Councillor	Salaries	Applied Data		Applied Rates		Discretionar	y sub-totals	Total	Revised	Original		
Councillor	& Benefits		i					Discretionary	2011	2011	Change	
·		Pop.	# of Bus.	Pop.	Bus.	Residential	Business	Opt1 \$.75 ward Coun.	Budget	Budget		
Mayor Bevilacqua	\$138,916	221,250		\$0.50	\$0.00	\$110,625	\$0	\$110,625	\$249,541	\$249,541	\$0	
Regional Councillor Rosati	\$89,548	98,333	ļ	\$0.50	\$0.00	\$49,167	\$0	\$49,167	\$138,715	\$163,298	(\$24,583)	
Regional Councillor Michael Di Biase	\$89,548	98,333	ļ	\$0.50	\$0.00	\$49,167	\$0	\$49,167	\$138,715	\$163,298	(\$24,583)	
Regional Councillor Deb Schulte	\$89,548	98,333	1	\$0.50	\$0.00	\$49,167	\$0	\$49,167	\$138,715	\$163,298	(\$24,583)	
Ward 1 Councillor lafrate	\$89,548	62,500	475	\$0.75	\$0.00	\$46,875	•		\$136,423	\$120,798	\$15,625	
Ward 2 Councillor Carella	\$89,548	62,500	1,212	\$0.75	\$0.00	\$46,875	\$0	\$46,875	\$136,423	\$120,798	\$15,625	
Ward 3 Councillor DeFrancesca	\$89,548	58,000	2,015	\$0.75	\$0.00	\$43,500	\$0	\$43,500	\$133,048	\$118,548	\$14,500	
Ward 4 Councillor Yeung Racco	\$89,548	42,000	4,352	\$0.75	\$0.00	\$31,500	\$0	\$31,500	\$121,048	\$110,548	\$10,500	
Ward 5 Councillor Shefman	\$89,548	70,000	1,061	\$0.75	\$0 <u>.00</u>	\$52,499	\$0	\$52,499	\$142,047	\$124,548	\$17,499	
Totals	\$855,298	811,250	9,115			\$479,375		\$479,375	\$1,334,675	\$1,334,675	\$0.0	

# OPTION #3 - Regional population assignment = 1/3 & Ward Council population rate changed to \$0.625 & Business rate change to \$4.045 (AVG)

Inputs	Mayor 75%	Regional 33.33%	Ward Per Data	Other
Population assignment Discretionary population rate (Mayor/Reg.)	75%	33.3370	Fei Dala	\$0.50
Discretionary population rate (Ward)				\$0.625
Discretionary business rate				\$4.045

				Discre	tionary E	Expenditure	Formula		Budget Comparison			
	Salaries	Applied Data		Applied	Rates	Discretiona <b>r</b>	y sub-totals	Total	Revised	Original		
Councillor	& Benefits		<u> </u>					Discretionary	2011	2011	Change	
		Pop.	# of Bus.	Pop.	Bus.	Residential	Business	Opt2 Avg Res&Bus.	Budget	Budget		
Mayor Bevilacqua	\$138,916	221,250		\$0.50	\$4.04	\$110,625	\$0	\$110,625	\$249,541	\$249,541	\$0	
Regional Councillor Rosati	\$89,548	98,333		\$0.50	\$4.04	\$49,167	\$0	\$49,167	\$138,715	\$163,298	, , ,	
Regional Councillor Michael Di Biase	\$89,548	98,333		\$0.50	\$4.04	\$49,167	\$0	\$49,167	\$138,715	\$163,298	(\$24,583)	
Regional Councillor Deb Schulte	\$89,548	98,333	ł	\$0.50	\$4.04	\$49,167	\$0	\$49,167	\$138,715	\$163,298	(\$24,583)	
Ward 1 Councillor lafrate	\$89,548	62,500	475	\$0.63	\$4.04	\$39,063	\$1,921	\$40,984	\$130,532	\$120,798	\$9,734	
Ward 2 Councillor Carella	\$89,548	62,500	1,212	\$0.63	\$4.04	\$39,063	\$4,903	\$43,966	\$133,514	\$120,798	\$12,716	
Ward 3 Councillor DeFrancesca	\$89,548	58,000	2,015	\$0.63	\$4.04	\$36,250	\$8,151	\$44,401	\$133,949	\$118,548	\$15,401	
Ward 4 Councillor Yeung Racco	\$89,548	42,000	4,352	\$0.63	\$4.04	\$26,250	\$17,604	\$43,854	\$133,402	\$110,548		
Ward 5 Councillor Shefman	\$89,548	70,000	1,061	\$0.63	\$4.04	\$43,750	\$4,292	\$48,042	\$137,590	\$124,548	\$13,042	
Totals	\$855,298	811,250	9,115			\$442,502		\$479,372	\$1,334,672	\$1,334,675	··· (\$2.9)	

## **Council Budgets Task Force - Option Detail**

OPTION #4 - Regional population assignment = 1/3 & Ward Council population rate changed to \$0.67 & Business rate change to \$2.70 (2/3 res. - 1/3 bus.)

Inputs\_ Population assignment Mayor 75%

Regional 33.33%

Ward Per Data

Other

Discretionary population rate (Mayor/Reg.)

Discretionary population rate (Ward)

\$0.50 \$0.667

Discretionary business rate

\$2.697

				Discre	tionary I	xpenditure	Formula		Budget Comparison			
Councillor	Salaries	Applied Data		Applied	Rates	Discretionar	y sub-totals	Total	Revised	Original		
Councillor	& Benefits							Discretionary	2011	2011	Change	
		Pop.	# of Bus.	Pop.	Bus.	Residential	Business	Opt 4- 66Res+.33Bus.)	Budget	Budget		
Mayor Bevilacqua	\$138,916	221,250		\$0.50	\$2.70	\$110,625	\$0	\$110,625	\$249,541	\$249,541	\$0	
Regional Councillor Rosati	\$89,548	98,333		\$0.50	\$2.70	\$49,167	\$0	\$49,167	\$138,715	\$163,298	(\$24,583)	
Regional Councillor Michael Di Biase	\$89,548	98,333		\$0.50	\$2.70	\$49,167	\$0	\$49,167	\$138,715	\$163,298	(\$24,583)	
Regional Councillor Deb Schulte	\$89,548	98,333		\$0.50	\$2,70	\$49,167	\$0	\$49,167	\$138,715	\$163,298	(\$24,583)	
Ward 1 Councillor lafrate	\$89,548	62,500	475	\$0.67	\$2.70	\$41,667	\$1,281	\$42,948	\$132,496	\$120,798	\$11,698	
Ward 2 Councillor Carella	\$89,548	62,500	1,212	\$0.67	\$2.70	\$41,667	\$3,269	\$44,936	\$134,484	\$120,798	\$13,686	
Ward 3 Councillor DeFrancesca	\$89,548	58,000	2,015	\$0.67	\$2.70	\$38,667	\$5,434	\$44,101	\$133,649	\$118,548	\$15,101	
Ward 4 Councillor Yeung Racco	\$89,548	42,000	4,352	\$0.67	\$2.70	\$28,000	\$11,737	\$39,737	\$129,285	\$110,548	\$18,737	
Ward 5 Councillor Shefman	\$89,548	70,000	1,061	\$0.67	\$2.70	\$46,667	\$2,861	\$49,528	\$139,076	\$124,548	\$14,528	
Totals	\$855,298	811,250	9,115			\$454,794		\$479,377	\$1,334,677	\$1,334,675	\$2.0	

OPTION #5 - Regional population assignment = 1/3 & Ward Council population rate changed to \$0.67 & Business rate change to \$2.70 (3/4 res. -1/4 bus.)

<u>Inputs</u>

Mayor 75%

Regional 33.33% Ward

Per Data

Population assignment Discretionary population rate (Mayor/Reg.)

Discretionary population rate (Ward) Discretionary business rate

\$0.50 \$0.688 \$2.023

Other

				Discre	tionary E	xpenditure	Formula		Budget Comparison			
Councillor	Salaries	Applied Data		Applied Rates		Discretionar	y sub-totals	Total	Revised	Original		
Councillor	& Benefits							Discretionary	2011	2011	Change	
		Pop.	# of Bus.	Pop.	Bus.	Residential	Business	Opt575Res +.25Bus.)	Budget	Budget		
Mayor Bevilacqua	\$138,916	221,250		\$0.50	\$2.02	\$110,625	\$0	\$110,625	\$249,541	\$249,541	\$0	
Regional Councillor Rosati	\$89,548	98,333		\$0.50	\$2.02	\$49,167	\$0	\$49,167	\$138,715	\$163,298	(\$24,583)	
Regional Councillor Michael Di Biase	\$89,548	98,333	]	\$0.50	\$2.02	\$49,167	\$0	\$49,167	\$138,715	\$163,298	(\$24,583)	
Regional Councillor Deb Schulte	\$89,548	98,333		\$0.50	\$2.02	\$49,167	\$0	\$49,167	\$138,715	\$163,298	(\$24,583)	
Ward 1 Councillor lafrate	\$89,548	62,500	475	\$0.69	\$2.02	\$42,969	\$961	\$43,930	\$133,478	\$120,798	\$12,680	
Ward 2 Councillor Carella	\$89,548	62,500	1,212	\$0.69	\$2.02	\$42,969	\$2,452	\$45,421	\$134,969	\$120,798	\$14,171	
Ward 3 Councilior DeFrancesca	\$89,548	58,000	2,015	\$0.69	\$2.02	\$39,875	\$4,076	\$43,951	\$133,499	\$118,548	\$14,951	
Ward 4 Councillor Yeung Racco	\$89,548	42,000	4,352	\$0.69	\$2.02	\$28,875	\$8,803	\$37,678	\$127,226	\$110,548	\$16,678	
Ward 5 Councillor Shefman	\$89,548	70,000	1,061	\$0.69	\$2.02	\$48,125	\$2,146	\$50,271	\$139,819	\$124,548	\$15,271	
Totals	\$855,298	811,250	9,115			\$460,939		\$479,376	\$1,334,676	\$1,334,675	\$1.2	

## Council Budgets Task Force - Option Detail

OPTION #6 - Population assignment = 50% mayor - 22.25% Reg. - Ward Council at ward pop. + residential rate = \$0.67 & Business rate = \$2.70 (2/3 res. - 1/3 bus.)

Inputs

Population assignment Discretionary population rate

Mayor 50%

Ward Regional 22.22% Per Data Other

\$0.667

Discretionary business rate

\$2,697

	·			Discre	tionary l	Expenditure	Formula		Budget Comparison			
O	Salaries	Applied Data		Applied	Rates	Discretionar	y sub-totals	Total	Revised	Original		
Councillor	& Benefits							Discretionary	2011	2011	Change	
		Pop.	# of Bus.	Pop.	Bus.	Residential	Business	Opt666Res+.33Bus.	Budget	Budget		
Mayor Bevilacqua	\$138,916	147,500	4,558	\$0.67	\$2.70	\$98,333	\$12,292	\$110,625	\$249,541	\$249,541	(\$1)	
Regional Councillor Rosati	\$89,548	65,555	2,026	\$0.67	\$2.70	\$43,703	\$5,463	\$49,166	\$138,714	\$163,298	(\$24,584)	
Regional Councillor Michael Di Biase	\$89,548	65,555	2,026	\$0.67	\$2.70	\$43,703	\$5,463	\$49,166	\$138,714	\$163,298	(\$24,584)	
Regional Councillor Deb Schulte	\$89,548	65,555	2,026	\$0.67	\$2.70	\$43,703	\$5,463	\$49,166	\$138,714	\$163,298	(\$24,584)	
Ward 1 Councillor lafrate	\$89,548	62,500	475	\$0.67	\$2.70	\$41,667	\$1,281	\$42,948	\$132,496	\$120,798	\$11,698	
Ward 2 Councillor Carella	\$89,548	62,500	1,212	\$0.67	\$2.70	\$41,667	\$3,269	\$44,936	\$134,484	\$120,798	\$13,686	
Ward 3 Councillor DeFrancesca	\$89,548	58,000	2,015	\$0.67	\$2.70	\$38,667	\$5,434	\$44,101	\$133,649	\$118,548	\$15,101	
Ward 4 Councillor Yeung Racco	\$89,548	42,000	4,352	\$0.67	\$2.70	\$28,000	\$11,737	\$39,737	\$129,285	\$110,548	\$18,737	
Ward 5 Councillor Shefman	\$89,548	70,000	1,061	\$0.67	\$2.70	\$46,667	\$2 <u>,</u> 861	\$49,528	\$139,076	\$124,548	\$14,528	
Totals	\$855,298	639,164	9,115			\$426,110		\$479,373	\$1,334,673	\$1,334,675	(\$2.0)	

OPTION #7 - Regional Council Whole - Population assignment adj. to keep Mayor/Regional whole - residential rate = \$0.67 & Business rate = \$2.70 (2/3 res. - 1/3 bus.)

Inputs

Population assignment

Discretionary population rate Discretionary business rate

Mayor 50%

Regional 33.33%

Ward Per Data Other

\$0.667

\$2.697

				Discre	tionary l	Expenditure	Formula		Budget Comparison			
Conneiller	Salaries	Applied Data		Applied	Applied Rates		y sub-totals	Total	Revised	Original		
Councillor	& Benefits							Discretionary	2011	2011	Change	
		Pop.	# of Bus.	Pop.	Bus.	Residential	Business	Opt666Res+.33Bus.	Budget	Budget	=	
Mayor Bevilacqua	\$138,916	147,500	4,558	\$0.67	\$2.70	\$98,333	\$12,292	\$110,625	\$249,541	\$249,541	(\$1)	
Regional Councillor Rosati	\$89,548	98,335	3,038	\$0.67	\$2.70	\$65,557	\$8,194	\$73,751	\$163,299	\$163,298	\$1	
Regional Councillor Michael Di Biase	\$89,548	98,335	3,038	\$0.67	\$2.70	\$65,557	\$8,194	\$73,751	\$163,299	\$163,298	\$1	
Regional Councillor Deb Schulte	\$89,548	98,335	3,038	\$0.67	\$2.70	\$65,557	\$8,194	\$73,751	\$163,299	\$163,298	\$1	
Ward 1 Councillor lafrate	\$89,548	62,500	475	\$0.67	\$2.70	\$41,667	\$1,281	\$42,948	\$132, <del>4</del> 96	\$120,798	\$11,698	
Ward 2 Councillor Carelia	\$89,548	62,500	1,212	\$0.67	\$2.70	\$41,667	\$3,269	\$44,936	\$134,484	\$120,798	\$13,686	
Ward 3 Councillor DeFrancesca	\$89,548	58,000	2,015	\$0.67	\$2.70	\$38,667	\$5,434	\$44,101	\$133,649	\$118,548	\$15,101	
Ward 4 Councillor Yeung Racco	\$89,548	42,000	4,352	\$0.67	\$2.70	\$28,000	\$11,737	\$39,737	\$129,285	\$110,548	\$18,737	
Ward 5 Councillor Shefman	\$89,548	70,000	1,061	\$0.67	\$2.70	\$46,667	\$2,861	\$49,528	\$139,076	\$124,548	\$14,528	
Totals	\$855,298	737,506	9,115			\$491,672		\$553,130	\$1,408,430	\$1,334,675	\$73,755	

Tax Rate increase

0.055%