COUNCIL MEETING - JANUARY 24, 2005

PLANNING APPLICATION FEES – FINANCIAL IMPACT OF BILL 124 (ITEM 1, SPECIAL COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE (BUDGET) MEETING OF JANUARY 18, 2005)

Recommendation

The Special Committee of the Whole (Budget) recommends (recommendation of January 18, 2005):

- 1) That the Budget Committee recommendation of January 11, 2005, be approved;
- 2) That the following recommendation contained in the memorandum from the City Clerk, dated January 14, 2005, be approved:

"That the following proposed Committee of Adjustment Application Fees to achieve 100% Cost Recovery, be approved:

Application Type

Consent/Severances	
Residential/Agricultural/Institutional	\$1,410.00
Industrial/Commercial	\$1,410.00
Changing Condition(s) of Provisional	
Consent	\$ 705.00
Applications requiring re-circulation due to	
Adjournment, revision or applicant's request	\$ 150.00
Corporation's Ontario Municipal Board	
Appeal Submission Fee	\$ 150.00
Certificate of Official (issued upon	
Completion of Consent Application	\$ 285.00
Same Day Service (issued upon completion	
of Consent Application, if received before noon)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
An additional	\$ 145.00
Minor Varianasa and Parmissian	
Minor Variances and Permission Residential/Agricultural/Institutional	\$ 635.00
Industrial/Commercial	\$1,055.00
Applications requiring re-circulation due to	φ1,055.00
Adjournment, revision or applicant's request	\$ 150.00
Corporation's Ontario Municipal Board Appeal	ψ 150.00
Submission Fee	\$ 150.00"
	ψ 150.00

3) That the confidential memorandum from the Commissioner of Finance and Corporate Services, entitled "Activity Based Costing Report from C.N. Watson and Associates Ltd.", dated January 18, 2005, be received.

Budget Committee recommendation of January 11, 2005

- 1) That the recommendation contained in the following report of the Commissioner of Planning, dated January 11, 2005, be approved subject to:
 - (1) Adding the following at the beginning of the Commissioner of Planning's recommendation:

"WHEREAS the Building Code Statute Law Amendment Act, 2002, restricts the use of building permit revenues to recover only reasonable anticipated costs of activities subject to the Building Code Act;

AND WHEREAS as a result, the cost of development applications approvals process activities previously funded from building permit revenues will no longer be eligible for said funding;

AND WHEREAS Section 69 of the *Planning Act* allows municipalities to establish a tariff of fees to meet the anticipated cost of processing development applications;

AND WHEREAS Vaughan's present development application fees do not recover the costs of processing said applications;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of the Corporation of the City of Vaughan resolves as follows:"

- (2) Deleting the words "an across the board increase of 279% representing" where it appears in Clause 1, and
- (3) Replacing the words "by 40.6% representing" where it appears in Clause 2 with "to achieve"; and
- 2) That staff provide the Budget Committee with a copy of the activity based costing review undertaken by C.N. Watson and Associates Ltd.

Report of the Commissioner of Planning, dated January 11, 2005

The Commissioner of Planning in consultation with the Senior Management Team recommends:

- 1. That the first of a two phase process to achieve full cost recovery of Planning Application Fees for the Development Planning Department be comprised of an across the board increase of 279%, representing an initial cost recovery of 90%.
- 2. That Planning Application Fees for the Committee of Adjustment be increased by 40.6%, representing a full cost recovery of 100%.
- 3. That amending application fee by-laws be brought forward to the Council Meeting of January 24, 2005 for enactment, reflecting the revised Planning Application Fees for the Development Planning Department and the Committee of Adjustment, respectively, as set out in this report.
- 4. That a further report be brought forward to a future meeting of the Committee of the Whole and Council, to finalize phase two of the fee review.

<u>Purpose</u>

The purpose of this report is to provide the Budget Committee with information concerning increases to the Planning Application Fees (Development Planning Department and Committee of Adjustment), related to full cost recovery.

Background - Analysis and Options

Bill 124 (Building Code Statute Law Amendment Act, 2002)

Bill 124 was given Royal Assent by the Province on June 27, 2002, and amended the Building Code Act, 1992, as it relates to the imposition of building permit fees (Section 11(2)). The amendments relating to the building permit fees will come into force on July 1, 2005.

Vaughan currently uses building permit revenues collected relatively late in the development applications approvals process (DAAP) to fund the earlier application review and processing activities subject to the Planning Act. Bill 124 restricts the use of building permit revenues to recover only the "reasonable anticipated costs" of activities subject to the Building Code Act. On July 1, 2005, the cost of DAAP activities related to the Planning Act will be ineligible for funding from building permit revenues.

Generally, application fees collected under the Planning Act have recovered a relatively small share of Planning DAAP costs in most GTA growth municipalities, such as Vaughan. Accordingly, it is considered to be appropriate to increase the Planning Application fees to recover the costs associated with the processing of development/planning applications.

Planning Act (Section 69) - Planning Application Fees

Section 69 of the Planning Act, allows municipalities to impose fees by way of a by-law for the purposes of processing planning applications. The Act requires:

"The Council of a municipality, by by-law, and a planning board, by resolution, may establish a tariff of fees for the processing of applications made in respect of planning matters, which tariff shall be designed to meet only the anticipated cost to the municipality or to a committee of adjustment or land division committee constituted by the council of the municipality or to the planning board in respect of the processing of each type of application provided for in the tariff."

The Act requires municipalities to have regard for the "anticipated cost" of providing the service. This would suggest that such fees and charges can include direct costs, capital-related costs, and indirect costs related to the service provided.

Under the Planning Act, there is no notification requirement or direct appeal mechanism in respect to the passing of a fee by-law. However, an applicant may protest an individual Planning Act application fee by paying the prescribed amount, and then subsequently appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board (OMB), against the levying of the fee or the amount of the fee charged by giving written notice to the OMB within 30 days of the fee payment. The OMB will hear such an appeal and determine if the appeal should be dismissed or direct the municipality to refund payment in such an amount as determined by the OMB. Upon individual appeal, the OMB would be looking at cost accountability. Persons may apply to a court for an order quashing the by-law, as with any by-law, on various grounds.

Vaughan Budget Committee – December 14, 2004

On May 14, 2004, the City retained C.N. Watson and Associates Ltd. to undertake an activity based costing review of user fees, permit fees and service charges. The final report dated October 19, 2004, provided the Senior Management Team and the Bill 124 Activity Costing Steering Committee with the legislative compliance implications for fees and charges as contained in the Municipal Act, Planning Act and Building Code Act, with

more detailed advisory services being provided regarding fees and charges related to the development applications approvals process (ie. Planning Act and Building Code Act).

On December 14, 2004, the Budget Committee considered a report entitled "Development Application Approvals Process (DAAP), Activity Costing and User Fee Justification for Building Permits and Planning Application Fees – Financial Impact of Bill 124". Briefly, this report identified the following as it relates to Planning Application fees:

- An opportunity exists for a permanent solution to offset the negative financial impact of Bill 124 through increasing the Planning Application Fees to recover their costs as allowed by legislation. The planning applications activity costing identified an opportunity for significant fee increases totaling \$3.8 million in planning applications which would result in the fee revenue equaling the cost.
- A 100% recovery of the Planning Application Fees translates to an increase of \$3.7 million or 321% in planning fees, and an increase of \$0.1 million or 41% in Committee of Adjustment fees, for a total of \$3.8 million.
- The ability to permanently address the financial impact of Bill 124 resides with increasing Planning Application Fees to match costs as allowed by legislation. The quicker the Planning Application Fees are increased to recover costs, the quicker the financial impact of Bill 124 is addressed.

As related to Planning Fees, on December 14, 2004, the Budget Committee resolved the following (in part):

"That the fees be adjusted to achieve full cost recovery and a report be provided to the Committee of the Whole meeting of January 17, 2005."

"That the Planning Fee By-law be brought forward to the Council Meeting of January 24, 2005."

Cost Recovery of Planning Application Fees

a) <u>Development Planning Department Application Fees (Phase 1)</u>

The Planning Application Fees for the Development Planning Department are currently achieving 22.9% cost recovery. In order to achieve full cost recovery, Staff is proposing an immediate across the board increase of 279%, representing an initial cost recovery of 90%, being the first component of a two-phase implementation approach. The current and proposed base application fees are as follows:

Application Type	<u>Current Base</u> <u>Application</u> <u>Fee (22.9%</u> <u>Cost Recovery)</u>	Proposed Base Application Fee (90% Cost Recovery; 279% Cost Increase)
Official Plan	\$2,500	\$9,475
Block Plan/ Secondary Plan	\$125/ha	\$475/ha
Zoning By-law	\$1,500	\$5,685

By-law to Remove Holding Symbol (H)	\$1,000	\$3,790
Part Lot Control	\$1,000	\$3,790
Site Plan	\$1,000	\$3,790
Condominium	\$2,000	\$7,580
Subdivision	\$3,000	\$11,370

<u>General Note</u>: When both Zoning By-law and Subdivision applications are submitted within a 1-year period, the applicable per unit and per ha fees shall be required with the Subdivision application only.

In addition to the increase to the base fees, the additional fee details including but not limited to per/unit, per/ha, and surcharge fees, will also be increased by 293% to achieve 90% cost recovery, as follows:

<u>Surch</u>	arges: Application Type	<u>Current</u>	<u>Proposed</u>
<u>Officia</u>	al Plan:		
a)	Surcharge if application is approved:	\$750	\$2,845
<u>Zonin</u>	g By-law:		
a)	Base Fee, plus:		
	 Singles, Semis, Townhouses: Multiple Unit Blocks: Non-Residential or Mixed Use Blocks: Private Open Space (golf course/cemetery) Maximum Fee for Private Open Space: 	\$150/unit \$50/unit \$2,000/ha y):\$1,000/ha \$50,000	\$570/unit \$190/unit \$7,580/ha \$3,790/ha \$189,500
b)	Surcharge if Zoning Application is approved:	\$750	\$2,845
<u>Site P</u>	lan:		
Base	Fee, plus:		
	 Industrial/Office/Private Institutional: Portion of GFA over 4,500 m2: Maximum Fee: 	\$0.30/m ² \$0.10/m ² \$3,000	\$1.15/m ² \$0.40/m ² \$11,370
	 Commercial (Service, Retail Warehouse): Portion of GFA over 4,500 m2: Maximum Fee: 	\$1.00/m ² \$0.25/m ² \$5,000	\$3.80/m ² \$0.95/m ² \$18,950
	 Residential: Or if previously paid in 	\$150/unit	\$570/unit
	subdivision application:	\$100/unit	\$380/unit
	Complex Revision to Site Plan Agreement requiring circulation:	\$1,000	\$3,790

•	Simple Revision to Site Plan Agreement not requiring circulation:	\$500	\$1,895
Condomin	<u>ium</u> :		
Base Fee,	plus:		
• •	Industrial Plans: Commercial Plans: Residential Plans:	\$50/unit \$50/unit \$20/unit	\$190/unit \$190/unit \$80/unit
Subdivision:			
a) Base Fee,	plus:		
• • •	Single, Semi, Townhouse Units: Multiple Unit Blocks: Part Lots for Residential Use: Non-Residential or Mixed Use Blocks (excluding parks/open space, storm	\$150/unit \$50/unit \$75/part lot	\$570/unit \$190/unit \$285/part lot
	ponds, roads, buffer spaces):	\$2,000/ha	\$7,580/ha
	o Draft Approved Plan sirculation:	\$1,000	\$3,790
c) Revision t	o Condition(s) of Draft Approval:	\$1,000	\$3,790
d) Extension	of Draft Plan:	\$500	\$1,895
e) Registratio	on of Each Additional Phase of Plan:	\$600	\$2,275
f) Referral o	f Plan to Ontario Municipal Board:	\$150	\$570
Other Genera	al Fees:		
	Fee for inactive files over ant does not wish to close file):	\$100	\$380

b) Development Application Tracking (Phase 2)

As of January 2005, Development Planning Staff has initiated a study process to track the number of hours spent on various application types, and the amount of time spent by staff in other departments that review Planning applications, to refine the fee structure based on the cost of service of the various application types. This time docketing approach will track staff service hours against each of the major development applications. Time tracking will be used to refine the cost of service and adjust fees.

The application tracking will be completed by May 2005, and a report and implementing fee by-law will be forwarded to the Committee of the Whole and Council meetings, respectively, in June 2005, prior to summer hiatus, with the fees to be adjusted accordingly to implement the phase two component to achieve full cost recovery of 100%.

c) <u>Committee of Adjustment Application Fees</u>

The Committee of Adjustment fees for Minor Variance and Consent Applications are currently achieving 71% cost recovery, and are proposed to be immediately increased by 40.6% across the board to achieve a full cost recovery of 100%. The current and proposed fees are as follows:

Application Type	<u>Current Fee</u> (71% Cost Recovery)	<u>Proposed Fee</u> (100% Cost Recovery; 40.6% Cost Increase)
<u>Consent/Severances</u>		
Residential/Agricultural/Institutional	\$1,000	\$1,410
Industrial/Commercial	\$1,000	\$1,410
Changing Condition(s) of Provisional Consent	\$500	\$705
Stamping of Deeds (issued upon completion of Consent Application)	\$200	\$285
Certificate of Official (issued upon completion of Consent Application)	\$200	\$285
Same day service (if received before noon) an additional	\$100	\$145
Minor Variances	Current Fee	Proposed Fee
Residential/Agricultural/Institutional	\$450	\$635
Industrial/Commercial	\$750	\$1,055
Permission	Current Fee	Proposed Fee
Residential/Agricultural/Institutional	\$450	\$635
Industrial/Commercial	\$750	\$1,055

Relationship to Vaughan Vision 2007

The recommendations forthcoming as a result of this report have significant impact to the 2005 Operating Budget and beyond. This report is consistent with the priorities set out in Vaughan Vision 2007, particularly with regards to B-1, "Ensure Short-Term & Long-Term Financial Stability" for the municipality.

Conclusion

Bill 124 will cause a significant financial impact for municipalities, particularly high growth cities like Vaughan. To address this impact, Staff has undertaken an initial review of the Planning Application Fees for both the Development Planning Department and the Committee of Adjustment, to mitigate the financial impact and to achieve Council's goal of full cost recovery.

The Planning Application Fees for the Development Planning Department are currently achieving 22.9% cost recovery. In order to achieve full cost recovery, Staff is proposing an immediate overall increase of 279%, representing an initial cost recovery of 90%, being the first component of a two-phase implementation approach. As of January 2005, Development Planning Staff has initiated a study process to track the number of hours spent on various application types, and the amount of time spent by staff in other departments that review Planning applications, to refine the fee structure based on the cost of service of the various application types. The application tracking will be completed by May 2005, and a report and implementing fee by-law will be forwarded to the Committee of the Whole and Council meetings, respectively, in June 2005, prior to summer hiatus, with the fees to be adjusted accordingly to implement the phase two component to achieve full cost recovery of 100%.

The Committee of Adjustment fees for Minor Variance and Consent Applications, which are currently achieving 71% cost recovery are proposed to be immediately increased by 40.6% to achieve a full cost recovery of 100%.

The implementing application fee by-laws for the Development Planning Department and the Committee of Adjustment will be forwarded to the Council Meeting on January 24, 2005, for enactment.

Attachments

N/A

Report prepared by:

Grant A. Uyeyama, Manager of Development Planning, ext. 8635