COMMITTEE OF THE WHOLE (WORKING SESSION)

SEPTEMBER 9, 2003

2003 DEVELOPMENT CHARGES

Recommendation

The Commissioner of Finance & Corporate Services in consultation with the City Manager, the Senior Management Team and the Director of Reserves & Investments recommends:

That the presentation be received

That the Development Charges Background Study be approved and the appropriate by-laws be forwarded to the Council meeting of September 22, 2003 for adoption;

That Council adopt the 10-year growth related capital forecast included in the Background Study subject to the maintenance of service levels and the availability of funding;

That exemptions be expanded to include publicly funded hospitals; and

That the proposed Development Charges be effective November 2003

<u>Purpose</u>

To update the Members of Council on the status of the City's Development Charges Background Study and get approval of proposed development charge rates.

Background - Analysis and Options

A public meeting as required under the Development Charges (DC) Act was held on June 23, 2003. Discussions have taken place with the development industry since the public meeting. Issues were identified, reviewed, resolved and the Development Charges Background Study has been revised accordingly. The development industry represented at the discussions is supportive of the Background Study and resulting rates.

DC Background Study Detail

The Background Study provides Council, the development industry and staff with the understanding of the capital infrastructure required to service growth and its related implications. Total growth related costs excluding Engineering over the 10-year period totals \$198,690,300. Engineering costs allocated over ultimate growth total \$231,722,300.

The Legislation requires that the Background Study include the anticipated impact on municipal operating costs. The net operating cost impact for the City over the 10-year forecasted period is estimated to be an increase of \$16.9 million as a result of the maintenance and operations of the additional infrastructure. In addition, the impact of the 10% co-funding contribution from taxation towards these capital projects is \$18.9 million. Total costs to the City of \$35.8 million over the next 10 years.

Growth Related Forecast

The growth forecast covered all anticipated development in the City, including growth in OPA 600 lands, as well as growth in OPA 19 (Regional), the Vaughan Corporate Centre and other lands designated for development.

Given the historical growth within Vaughan, the development industry questioned the growth forecast.

The initial growth forecast was based on OPA 600 which was adopted by both the Region and the City in 2000 based on data available in 1999 and the 1996 Census. For the period 1999-2002, growth has been much more rapid in Vaughan than had been anticipated by the forecast contained with OPA 600 and the York Regional Plan. Given that the short-term build out was sooner than anticipated and given that the latest information provided from the 2001 Census, Hemson Consulting revisited the growth forecast. As a result, this new forecast prepared by Hemson Consulting represents a more current outlook for growth in Vaughan.

Planning staff stated changes in forecast are expected and the OPA's are revisited at least every 5 years and revised, if necessary, based on the most current information available.

Hemson Consulting reviewed the revised forecast with the development industry and the City's planning staff and all concur with the results.

Growth Related Capital Forecasts

The growth forecast and the related capital forecast required to support development provides the foundation on which the development charges rates are based.

The capital forecast included in the study was provided by senior staff in these respective departments. Information provided included the identification of growth related projects, location where possible, costing and the timing of construction to coincide with development and available funding. Provincial regulations require that there must be a demonstrated commitment by Council to continue to emplace facilities or infrastructure in the future in order to include them in the capital forecast.

Ontario Regulation 82/98 5.3 states that:

"For the purpose of paragraph 3 of subsection 5(1) of the Act, the Council of a municipality has indicated that it intends to ensure that an increase in the need for service will be met if the increase in service forms part of an official plan, capital forecast or similar expression of the intention of the Council and the plan, forecast or similar expression of the intention of the Council has been approved by the Council."

Should Council concur with the capital forecast provided in the Background Study, then, Council should endorse the growth related capital forecast included in the Background Study subject to the maintenance of service levels and the availability of funding.

From their initial submission the Library Board has advanced the timing of the construction of their third resource library to coincide with the construction of the new Civic Centre. The libraries 10 year capital plan should be reviewed if the project does not proceed as part of the Civic Centre.

Development Charge Policies

The City has had a number of associated development charges policies to assist in the administration of the development charges by-law. The Development Charges Act requires that these policies be clearly documented and incorporated into the DC By-law. The intent is to continue with the current policies where possible. The following is an overview of the major policy areas.

Exemptions

From time to time the City has been requested to provide an exemption from development charges. The following exemptions are provided for this proposed By-law.

- a) A local board:
- b) The City of any local board thereof and, without limiting the generality of the foregoing, including land leased from the Crown in right of Canada or Ontario located within the Parkway Belt Planning Area as defined in Regulation 744 paragraph 16 of the Revised Regulations of Ontario 1990, provided the same is used for institutional use purposes of a not-for-profit nature;
- The Regional Municipality of York or any local board thereof;
- d) Any area municipality within the Regional Municipality of York; and
- e) A public hospital receiving aid under the Public Hospitals Act.

Staff recommend that the exemptions be expanded to include a public hospital as noted above. The Region exempts publicly funded hospitals.

Lot Levies Paid Prior to Development Charges

Prior to the introduction of development charges, developers paid lot levies. During the 1999 Development Charge deliberations, staff developed a policy which provided a pre-development charge credit for residential and non-residential development. Upon application for a building on a vacant lot or block, the owner is entitled to a credit for a lot levy actually paid equivalent to the value of the services contained in the proposed City of Vaughan Development Charges By-law 2002. If the application for a building permit on a lot or block which is not vacant, then the permit will be subject to the Development Charge rate in effect at the time of building permit issuance without credit.

The August 1999 policy is in effect until December 31, 2003. After December 31, 2003 no credits shall be applicable regardless of the provisions in any agreements.

Staff recommend that the policy for pre-development charge credits for residential and non-residential development remain in effect until December 31, 2003 and not be extended.

<u>Development Charges Rates</u>

The Development Charges Background Study is consistent with the provisions of the Development Charges Act 1997 and its related regulations (Ontario Regulation 02/98).

The calculation of the City of Vaughan Development Charges has two components, a City-Wide component and an Area Specific charge component.

Attached are the residential and non-residential development charges based on the Background Study.

Residential Rate

Attachment 1 shows that the residential rates in the City of Vaughan have increased slightly for all types of dwelling units.

Non-Residential Rates

Committee of the Whole at its Working Session of June 9, 2003, adopted the following

That staff provide an alternative option for separate development charge rates for Commercial, Industrial and Retail:

That staff report back on opportunities to reduce the industrial development charge component.

Historically, the City has one non-residential rate for industrial, retail and commercial development. Given the proposed increase in the non-residential rate, the development industry indicated their preference to maintain one non-residential rate, as has been practice in the past.

As the proposed increase in the non-residential rate is acceptable to the development industry, phasing in the increase or other measures were not considered necessary.

Term of Development Charge By-law

Committee of the Whole at its Working Session of June 9, 2003, adopted the following

"That staff be directed to explore and report back on the feasibility of a phase-in or 4 year term Development Charges By-law similar to the Region."

The Development Charges Act permits a development charge by-law not to exceed a term of five (5) years.

The term of the Region DC By-law is four years to coincide with the 4-year phase in to accommodate substantial increases in non-residential development charges due to the impact of transit. Once again, as the proposed increase in non-residential is acceptable to the development industry, changing the term of the development charge was not considered necessary.

Council has the option to decide at any time to review the by-law in advance of the five (5) years

Development Industry Review and Input

Copies of the draft Development Charge Background Study have been provided to the development industry. Several meetings were held with representatives of the development industry to review and discuss issues and comment on the material included in the Background Study. Development industry representatives represented a cross section of the industry as well as and the Greater Toronto Home Builders' Association (GTHBA). The discussions were very useful and resulted in issues being clarified and resolved.

The development industry has provided letters in support of the proposed development charges rates. These letters are attached.

Conclusion

Staff and the development industry have worked closely to address questions and resolve issues. Outstanding issues have been resolved and the development industry representatives have endorsed the proposed development charge rates being proposed by the City of Vaughan.

<u>Attachments</u>

Attachment 1 - Proposed Residential & Non-Residential Development Charge Rates

Attachment 2 – Proposed Area Specific Development Charge Rates
Attachment 3 – City of Vaughan Development Charges Background Study
Attachment 4 – Correspondence from the Development Industry

Report prepared by:

Ferrucio Castellarin, CGA Director of Reserves & Investments, ext. 8271

Respectfully submitted,

Clayton D. Harris, CA Commissioner of Finance & Corporate Services

City of Vaughan Proposed City Wide Deveopment Charge Rates Charge by Dwelling Type (Residential) And Per Sq M (Non-Residential)

	Rate Jun 22/03 <u>With Transit</u>	Current Rate (*)	Proposed Rate	Rate Increase
Residential DC				
Single/Semi Detached	\$7,819	\$7,555	\$7,922	4.86%
Multiple Unit	\$6,812	\$6,582	\$6,791	3.18%
Apartment	\$4,402	\$4,253	\$4,414	3.79%
Non-Residential DC				
Per square metre of GFA	\$14.03	\$12.56	\$13.71	9.16%

^(*) Current rate without Transit effective June 23, 2003

City of Vaughan Proposed Special Area Deveopment Charge Rates

		Proposed
Service	<u>Area</u>	Rate <u>Per Ha</u>
Carry-Forward Special Areas		
Clarence Street Sanitary Trunk Sewer	D-2	\$7,662
Pressure District 6 Watermain - Residential	D - 3	\$5,681
Pressure District 6 Watermain - Non-Residenti	D - 3	\$7,556
Western Maple Subtrunk Sanitary Sewer -Keele St to Maple Collector	D - 4	\$566
Western Maple Subtrunk Sanitary Sewer - Rutherford Rd to Keele St	D-5	\$2,045
Rainbow Creek Drainage Works	8 - G	\$5,570
Langstaff Road Watermain - Vaughan Mills to Martin Grove	Ð - 11	\$ 6,123
New Special Areas		
Pressure District 5 West - Woodbridge Watermain	D - 15	\$6,984
Concord Truck Extension - Segment One	D - 16	\$4,334
Concord Truck Extension - Segment Two	D - 17	\$11,453
Pressure District 6 West - Major MacKenzie Drive Watermain	D - 18	\$2,694
Pressure District 6 East - Rutherford Road Watermain	D - 19	\$4,953
Pressure District 7 Watermain West	D - 20	\$11,752
Dufferin / Teston Sanitary Sewer - OPA 332 Ultimate Outlet	D - 23	\$8,695
Ansley Grove Sanitary SubTrunk	D - 24	\$1,857



1700 Langstaff Road, Suite 2003, Concord, Ontario L4K 3S3

Tel: (905) 669-5571 Tor: (416) 798-7229 Fax: (905) 669-2134

FAX TRANSMISSION

10:	City of Vaughan Finance Dept	Fax No. 905 832 8566 A
Attention:	Clayton Harris	Date: 5-Sep-03
From:	Fraser Nelson	
Re:	City of Vaughan Proposed Development Char	rges
No. of Pages	2 (Including this Page.)	
Comments		
Attached is U	DI York Chapters endorsement of the 28 Augus	at DC proposal.
		Jacos)
Copies to:	Mike DeAngellis	Fax No. 905 832 8143
	Maurice Stevens	Fax No. 905 731 3481 🛩
	Paula Tenuta	Fax No. 416 391 2118 🛩
		Fax No.
	Note: If you do not receive all the pages, or if a transmission is illegible, please notify o	•



Urban Development Institute / Ontario York Chapter

September 5, 2003

City of Vaughan
Finance Department
2141 Major Mackenzie Drive
Vaughan, Ontario
L6A 1t1

Attention:

Mr. Clayton Harris, Commissioner of Finance

Dear Sir:

Re:

City of Vaughan Development Charge Proposal

We have been asked by the developers who have been negotiating the new Vaughan development charge to comment on the proposal made by Vaughan staff on August 28, 2003. UDI York Chapter has canvassed its members and can report that the proposed residential and non-residential rates are acceptable. We also confirm that there is consensus to have only one non-residential rate, avoiding a split rate between industrial/commercial and retail.

We trust you find these comments of assistance and look forward to Vaughan council finalizing this matter.

Yours very truly.

URBAN DEVELOPMENT INSTITUTE / ONTARIO

YORK CHAPTER

Fraser L. Nelson, P. Enk

Chapter Chair

fin/del

c.c. Mr. Mike DeAngelis, CAO





Greater Toronto Home Builders' Association Paula J. Tenuta, Municipal Government Advisor 20 Upjohn Road, Suite 100 North York, Ontario M3B 2V9 416-391-3438 direct ph & fax

FAX Memorandum

Please report any facsimile transmission errors to 416-391-3449

TO: Clayton Harris 905.832.8566
Maurice Stevens 905.731.3481
Liz Sawicki 905.850.6166
Michael Naiberg 905.669.2134
Daniel Belli 905.669.0444
Randy Grimes 416.596.0644
Fraser Nelson 905.669.2134

DATE: September 4, 2003 PAGES: 2 (including cover)

FROM: Paula J. Tenuta, Municipal Government Advisor

RE: City of Vaughan Proposed Development Charges

FYI - Final correspondence



SEP 0 4 2003

CITY OF VAUGHAN FINANCE



ilding Confidence

Greater Toronta Home Builders' Association

20 Upjohn Road, Suite 100 North York ON M3B 2V9

T 416 391 3445 F 416 391 2118 Email gthba@newhomes.o www.newhomes.org www.renovator.org

September 4, 2003

Mr. Clayton Harris
Commissioner of Finance & Corporate Services
The City of Vaughan
2141 Major Mackenzie Drive
Vaughan, Ontario
L6A 1T1

RE:

City of Vaughan Proposed Development Charges

As proposed by Finance staff at the August 28th meeting, GTHBA would support the following rates being presented to Council:

Residential	single and semi	\$7,922/ unit	
	multiple	\$6,791/ unit	
	apartment	\$4,414/ unit	
Non-residential		\$13.71/ sq. mtr	

While GTHBA is pleased with the relatively small percentage increase, and while we appreciate the open review process, it should be noted that when the proposed municipal development charge is combined with those collected by the Region, GO Transit, and the school boards, Vaughan's rate is the highest in the GTA, and among the highest in Canada. By way of example, a recent study by CMHC shows that Vaughan's charges are three times more on a dollar basis than those collected in Calgury, Alberta.

Such increases have an effect on the building and development industry's ability to provide affordable and high quality housing, since the cumulative regional and municipal taxes, fees and charges represent a substantial burden on new housing.

The development charges review has been a beneficial process for all parties, reinforcing the need for continued dialogue on such significant industry matters. We look forward to future discussions and maintaining progressive working relationships with staff.

Sincerely,

Joe Valela President

CC. Mr. Fraser Nelson, Chair, UDI York Chapter

Mr. Randy Grimes, IBI Group

Members of the Developer/Homebuilder DC Working Group

FAX TRANSMISSION

	September 4, 2003	Project No:	TO-0840		
To	Mr. Clayton Harris	FAX No:	905 832-8566		
	Mr. Maurice Stevens		905 731-3481		
	Ms. Liz Sawicki		905 850-6166		
	Ms, Ornelia Richichi				
	Mr. Michael Naiberg				
	Mr. Daniel Belli				
	Randy Grimes				
Sent By:	Darla Day	Extension No;	820		
No. of Pages:	Face + 2				
COMMENTS					
Re: Vaughan DC					
Attached please our letter on behalf of the developers/homebuilders team on the proposed 2003 DC.					
Regards,					
Randy					



5º Floor 230 Richmond Street West Toronto, ON M6V 1V6 Canada (416) 596-1930 Fax (416) 596-0644



September 3, 2003

Mr. Clayton Harris
Commissioner of Finance & Corporate Services
The City of Vaughan
2141 Major Mackenzie Drive
Vaughan, Ontario
L6A 1T1

Dear Mr. Harris

2003 City of Vaughan Development Charges By-law

I am writing on behalf of the developers/homebuilders who were involved in the negotiations for the 2003 City of Vaughan Development Charges By-law.

We were asked to express the appreciation of the developers/homebuilders team to the efforts of your staff and consultants involved in the negotiation process. We were pleased not only by the outcome of the discussions, but also by the professional and practical attitude taken during our meetings.

In light of these, the developers/homebuilders involved in the negotiations support the following development charges proposed by staff in our August 28 meeting:

Residential	Single and Semi	\$7,922/Unit
	Multiple	\$6,791/Unit
	Apartment	\$4,414/Unit
Non-Residential		\$13.71/Sq. M.

Given the non-residential charge has increased slightly over its existing level, we believe that it is not necessary to consider splitting retail from non-retail uses within the non-residential category.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to give me a call.

Yours truly,

IB! GROUP

Randy M. Grimes

Director

RMG/dd

Mr. Clayton Harris -2 - September 3, 2003

cc: Maurice Stevens, Castlepoint Investments Inc.
Liz Sawickl, Landtactix Inc.
Ornella Richlohl, First Pro Shopping Centres
Michael Naiberg, Metrus Development Inc.
Daniel Belli, Greenpark / Armland Group
Paula Tenuta, GTHBA

2896 South Sheridan Way, Suite 100 Oakville, Ontario L6J 7G9

Telephone: 905 829 8600 Facsimile: 905 829 2035

E-mail: lyn.townsend@on.aibn.com

Lynda J. Townsend Renaud Law Office



10	Cizyton Harris		Lynda J. Townsend Renaud	
Fax:	905 303 2036	Pages	: 2	
Phone:		Date:	September 4, 2003	
Re;		cc:	Ornella Richichi	416 493 0329
_			Frank Clayton	416 699 2252
□ Urge	πt ☑ For Review	☐ Please Comment	☐ Please Reply	☐ Please Recycle
• IF Y	OU HAVE ANY PRO	BLEMS READING THIS	FAX OR ARE MI	SSING ANY PAGES
PLEASE	CONTACT KATE KIN	IG AT 905 829 8600.		

This telecopy is solicitor-client privileged and contains confidential information intended only for the person(s) named above. Any other distribution, copying or disclosure is strictly prohibited. If you have received this telecopy in error, please notify us immediately by telephone and return the original to us by mail without making a copy.

THE LAW OFFICE OF LYNDA TOWNSEND RENAUD

September 4, 2003

The City of Vaughan 2141 Major Mackenzie Drive Vaughan, Ontario L6A 1T1

Attention:

Mr. Clayton Harris

Commissioner of Finance & Corporate Services

Dear Mr. Harris:

Re: 2003 City of Vaughan Development Charges By-law

Please be advised that I represent Firstpro Shopping Centres with respect to development charge matters. On June 20, 2003 I wrote to the Mayor and Members of Council with respect to the background study and I expressed my client's interest in this document.

We have subsequently been advised through UDI and the GTHBA that discussions have now concluded with respect to the methodology and other elements of the background study. We understand that the non-residential charge is proposed to be recommended at \$13.71 per ^{m2}. I wish to advise on behalf of my clients that we take no issue with respect to the proposed and recommended charge and that we support the use of a single non-residential charge regardless of the type of non-residential development.

We wish to take this opportunity to thank you for having consulted with the developers and home builders over the course of the summer. We believe this has been a productive exercise.

Yours truly,

LYNDA J. TOWNSEND RENAUD

LAW OFFICE

ynda J. Townsend Renaud

cc: Omella Richichi

Frank Clayton