

CITY OF VAUGHAN

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF SEPTEMBER 24, 2007

Item 1, Report No. 42, of the Committee of the Whole (Public Hearing), which was adopted without amendment by the Council of the City of Vaughan on September 24, 2007.

1
OFFICIAL PLAN AMENDMENT FILE OP.07.003
ZONING BY-LAW AMENDMENT FILE Z.07.032
JOSEPH AND WOLF LBOVIC JEWISH COMMUNITY CAMPUS

The Committee of the Whole (Public Hearing) recommends:

- 1) That the recommendation contained in the following report of the Commissioner of Planning, dated September 17, 2007, be approved;
- 2) That the following deputations and written submission, be received:
 - a) Mrs. Donna Mayor, 1040 Rutherford Road, Maple, L6A 1S2, and written submission dated September 12, 2007; and
 - b) Mr. Chris Barnett, Davis LLP, on behalf of Woodvalley Developments Inc., 1 First Canadian Place, 100 King Street West, Suite 5600, Toronto, M5X 1E2 ; and
- 3) That the following written submissions be received:
 - a) Mr. Luch Ognibene, The Remington Group Inc., 7501 Keele Street, Suite 100, Vaughan, L4K 1Y2, dated September 17, 2007; and
 - b) Ms. Helen Mihailidi, Block 11 Properties Inc., 7501 Keele Street, Suite 200, Vaughan, L4K 1Y2, dated September 17, 2007.

Recommendation

The Commissioner of Planning recommends:

THAT the Public Hearing report for Files OP.07.003 and Z.07.032 (Joseph and Wolf Lebovic Community Campus) BE RECEIVED; and that any issues identified be addressed by the Development Planning Department in a comprehensive report to the Committee of the Whole.

Economic Impact

This will be addressed when the technical report is completed.

Communications Plan

Notices were placed in the Vaughan Citizen, Vaughan Weekly and Lo Specchio notifying residents of the September 17, 2007 Public Hearing meeting on this planning application.

Purpose

The Owner has submitted applications to amend the Official Plan and Zoning By-law on the subject lands shown on Attachment #1, specifically to:

1. Amend OPA #600 to redesignate the subject lands from "Low Density Residential Area" to "High Density Residential/Commercial Area". The subject lands are divided into 2 quadrants being quadrants "C" and "D". Quadrant "C" is intended for highrise residential use geared towards seniors living, potentially having 460 units, within two, 8-storey buildings. Quadrant "D" is intended for long term care facility uses with capacity for 816 beds, within two, 8-storey buildings.

CITY OF VAUGHAN

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF SEPTEMBER 24, 2007

Item 1, CW(PH) Report No. 42 – Page 2

2. Amend Zoning By-law 1-88 to rezone Quadrants “C” and “D” as shown on Attachment #3 from A Agricultural Zone to RA3 Apartment Residential Zone with site-specific exceptions to facilitate the future development of the subject lands for highrise residential (seniors) and long term care facility uses, respectively.

Background – Analysis and Options

The subject lands shown on Attachment #1 are located on the east and west sides of Thomas Cook Avenue, south of Lebovic Campus Drive, in Part of Lot 17, Concession 2, City of Vaughan. The 5.73 ha site represents Quadrants “C” (3.07 ha) and “D” (2.66 ha) of the Owners total land holdings (see Attachment #1), which forms part of the overall Joseph and Wolf Lebovic Jewish Community Campus. The current Master Plan for the campus is shown on Attachment #2. Quadrant ‘A’ (Phase 1) is site plan approved for a community centre, special needs facility, and a secondary school that is under construction. Quadrant ‘B’ (Phase 2) is vacant, however, the applicant has submitted a site plan application (File DA.07.018) to construct two elementary schools. The original Master Plan that was approved by Council on June 28, 2004, showed two elementary schools for Quadrant ‘C’ and special needs and long-term elderly care facilities for Quadrant ‘D’.

The subject lands are vacant, and are designated “Low Density Residential Area” by OPA # 600, which does not permit the proposed higher density residential uses and density, and zoned A Agricultural Zone by By-law 1-88. The surrounding land uses are:

- North - Lebovic Campus Drive; future residential (RD3(H) Residential Detached Zone Three with Holding Provision) and neighbourhood park (OS2 Open Space Park Zone)
- South - future residential (RD2 (H) Residential Detached Zone Two with Holding Provision and A Agricultural Zone)
- East - valley land (OS1 Open Space Conservation Zone)
- West - valley land (OS1 Open Space Conservation Zone)

On August 24, 2007, a Notice of Public Hearing was circulated to all property owners within 120m of the subject lands, and a notice was also included in the local and weekly newspapers (Vaughan Citizen on August 23, 2007; Vaughan Weekly on August 29, 2007; and Lo Specchio on August 31, 2007. As of September 7, 2007, no comments have been received. Any responses received will be addressed in the technical review, and included in a comprehensive staff report to a future Committee of the Whole meeting.

Preliminary Review

Following a preliminary review of the proposed applications, the Development Planning Department has identified the following matters to be reviewed in greater detail:

- i) the applications will be reviewed in the context of the Provincial Policy Statement, and the Regional and City Official Plan policies, to determine the appropriateness of the proposed density and intensification on the subject lands;
- ii) the applications will be reviewed in the context of the approved and existing land uses in the surrounding area, and the proposed elementary school site plan application on the other lands owned by the applicant (File DA.07.018) for Quadrant B to the east of the subject lands). Particular consideration will be given to the appropriateness and compatibility of the proposed density and uses, height, and the impact on traffic and community services;

CITY OF VAUGHAN

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF SEPTEMBER 24, 2007

Item 1, CW(PH) Report No. 42 – Page 3

- iii) the availability of water and sanitary servicing capacity must be identified and allocated by Council, should the applications be approved; and
- iv) the necessary studies including: planning justification report, wind assessment sun/shadow study, urban design guidelines and traffic study have been submitted in support of the applications, and are currently under review.

Relationship to Vaughan Vision 2007

The applicability of these applications to the Vaughan Vision will be determined when the technical report is considered.

Regional Implications

The Region of York Planning Department is currently reviewing the proposed Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment applications, and will provide their comments to the Vaughan Development Planning Department for further consideration.

Conclusion

The above issues, but not limited to, will be considered in the technical review of the applications together with comments from the public and Council expressed at the Public Hearing or in writing, and be addressed in a comprehensive report to a future Committee of the Whole meeting. In particular, the applications will be reviewed in the context of the Provincial, Regional and City policies, compatibility with adjacent land uses, and the appropriateness of the proposed density and intensification of development, will be reviewed.

Attachments

1. Location Map
2. Master Plan (Approved and Proposed)
3. Conceptual Site Plan

Report prepared by:

Arminé Hassakourians, Planner, ext. 8368
Arto Tikiryan, Senior Planner, ext. 8212
Grant Uyeyama, Manager of Development Planning, ext. 8635

/CM

(A copy of the attachments referred to in the foregoing have been forwarded to each Member of Council and a copy thereof is also on file in the office of the City Clerk.)

CITY OF VAUGHAN

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF SEPTEMBER 24, 2007

Item 2, CW(PH) Report No. 42 – Page 2

- North - existing nursing Home (A Agricultural Zone)
- South - Open Space (OS1 Open Space Conservation Zone)
- East - Keele Street; Existing Industrial (M1 restricted Industrial Zone)
- West - existing single detached residential (R4 Residential Zone)

On August 24, 2007, a Notice of Public Hearing was circulated to all property owners within 120m of the subject lands, and to the Maple Village Ratepayers' Association. As of September 7, 2007 no comments have been received. Any responses received will be addressed in the technical review and included in a comprehensive staff report to a future Committee of the Whole meeting.

Preliminary Review

Following a preliminary review of the proposed application, Staff has identified the following matters to be reviewed in greater detail:

- the application will be reviewed in the context of the applicable official plan polices and with respect to compatibility with the surrounding land uses;
- the application will be reviewed in the context of the Maple Streetscape and Urban Design Guidelines with respect to building design, scale, massing and streetscape requirements; the application must be reviewed by the Maple Streetscape Community Advisory Committee;
- the appropriateness of the exceptions to the zoning by-law required to implement the proposed development will be assessed in the context of the surrounding land uses and proper on-site building organization and the functioning of the site including, but not limited to, pedestrian accessibility, vehicular access, adequacy of parking, and service delivery (i.e. snow and garbage removal);
- the availability of servicing for the proposed development must be identified and allocated by the City, if approved;
- the Owner will be required to satisfy all requirements of the Region of York with respect to access onto Keele Street, potential road widening requirements and the design and location of the proposed lay-by parking spaces;

Relationship to Vaughan Vision 2007

The applicability of this application to the Vaughan Vision will be determined when the technical report is completed.

Conclusion

The above issues, but not limited to, will be considered in the technical review of the application, together with comments expressed by the public and Council at the Public Hearing or in writing, and addressed in a comprehensive report to a future Committee of the Whole meeting. In particular, the proposal will be reviewed in the context of the applicable Official Plan policies, compatibility with the surrounding land use, the appropriateness of the proposed development standards required to implement the proposal, the Maple Streetscape and Urban Design Guidelines and the requirements of the Region of York.

CITY OF VAUGHAN

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF SEPTEMBER 24, 2007

Item 2, CW(PH) Report No. 42 – Page 3

Attachments

1. Location Map
2. Site Plan
3. Landscape Plan
4. Elevations

Report prepared by:

Joseph Yun, Planner, ext. 8216
Mauro Peverini, Senior Planner, ext. 8407
Grant Uyeyama, Manager of Development Planning, ext. 8635

/LG

(A copy of the attachments referred to in the foregoing have been forwarded to each Member of Council and a copy thereof is also on file in the office of the City Clerk.)

CITY OF VAUGHAN

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF SEPTEMBER 24, 2007

Item 3, Report No. 42, of the Committee of the Whole (Public Hearing), which was adopted, as amended, by the Council of the City of Vaughan on September 24, 2007, as follows:

By receiving the written submission from Jun C. Chung, INGKO 7582 LTD., 1054 Centre Street, Suite 396, Thornhill, L4J 8E5, dated September 14, 2007.

**3 THORNHILL VAUGHAN HERITAGE CONSERVATION DISTRICT STUDY
FILE: 19.27**

The Committee of the Whole (Public Hearing) recommends:

- 1) That the recommendation contained in the following report of the Commissioner of Planning, dated September 17, 2007, be approved; and
- 2) That the following deputations be received:
 - a) Mr. Robb Stitt, 18 Mill Street, Thornhill, L4J 8C5;
 - b) Mr. Nigel Connell, 25 Colborne Street, Thornhill, L3T 1Z4;
 - c) Ms. Loriana Valente-Singh, 23 Thornridge Drive, Thornhill, L4J 1C5; and
 - d) Ms. Marion Matthias, 33 Colborne Street, Thornhill, L3T 1Z4.

Recommendation

The Commissioner of Planning Recommends:

- “1. THAT the Study Report and proposed Plan for the Thornhill Vaughan Heritage Conservation District as prepared by Phillip H. Carter Architects’ be received; and that any issues identified be addressed by the Policy Planning Department, in a comprehensive report to a future meeting of the Committee of the Whole.”

Economic Impact

The funds for the Study were approved by Council on September 12, 2006. Subsection 41(10.1) and clause 41(5)(b) of the Ontario Heritage Act, as amended in 2005, now requires that a by-law that designates a Heritage Conservation District be registered against title to the properties affected by the by-law in the Land Registry Office. The cost to the City of this one time fee will be approximately \$500.00 to register all properties plus associated staff time. This work will be undertaken at such time as the implementing by-laws are adopted by Council and are in full force and effect.

Communications Plan

Property owners within the Study area were notified by direct mailings, advertisements were placed in local papers, and the Study and notification of each meeting were highlighted in the Policy Planning section of the City’s website. Three public consultation meetings were held at strategic milestones in the study process. On average 25 residents and business owners attended these meetings.

On August 24, 2007, a notice of Public Hearing was sent to all residents/landowners within the study area and to a distance of 120 m outside of the study boundary, to Heritage Vaughan, the Society for the Preservation of Historic Thornhill, and representatives of the Region of York and Town of Markham. The notice of Public Hearing was also posted in the Vaughan Citizen on August 23, 2007 and in the Vaughan Weekly on August 29, 2007. In addition, the notice was placed on the City web site on August 23, 2007.

CITY OF VAUGHAN

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF SEPTEMBER 24, 2007

Item 3, CW(PH) Report No. 42 – Page 2

Purpose

The purpose of this report is to present the Thornhill Vaughan Heritage Conservation Study and recommended Plan for Council's consideration.

The Thornhill Vaughan Heritage Conservation District Plan 2007 consists of two separate volumes:

1. The 2007 Study/Plan.
2. The Updated 2007 District Plan Building Inventory.

These documents are complementary and are each to be considered in interpreting the Plan.

The purpose of the review is to undertake a comprehensive study of the existing policies and guidelines, to evaluate the performance of the Plan, and to incorporate the experience of the local community through a community consultation process. Given the time which has lapsed since the original study, it is also necessary to update the District Plan to current Ontario Heritage Act Practices and City Official Plan Policies.

Background - History

Thornhill is one of Ontario's earliest settlements, dating from the original construction of Yonge Street shortly after 1801. Considering the District as a whole, the goals and objectives of the 1984 Plan have been largely achieved and the District has been successful in preserving its heritage resources and character, with the loss of only one heritage building in the interim.

The styles of the heritage buildings are Victorian Vernacular, Victorian Gothic, Queen Anne, Foursquare / Edwardian, Arts and Crafts, and Craftsman Bungalow. Many of the more recently built houses have made an effort to reflect the heritage characteristics in the village.

Background - Analysis and Options

The Study Area is the boundary of the existing Thornhill Heritage Conservation District as defined in the Thornhill Heritage Conservation District Study/Plan (1984). The Plan was completed to provide a set of guidelines for development in the Old Village of Thornhill, including building design, site design and public infrastructure improvements to ensure the preservation of the existing historic buildings and unique environmental features.

Given the time which has elapsed since the 1984 Thornhill Heritage Conservation District Study/Plan, and the new policies addressing the Yonge Street portion of the heritage district contained in OPA 669, a review of the Heritage Conservation District Plan was recommended. On June 26, 2006, Council adopted the following Staff recommendation:

“With the support of appropriate consultants, City Staff should review and revise the Thornhill Heritage Conservation District Plan (including signage and lighting policies) in co-ordination with the Town of Markham, and in accordance with the new OPA policies.”

Recent revisions to the Ontario Heritage Act change the relationship between Heritage Conservation District Plans and Official Plans and zoning by-laws. Once adopted, the development policies and guidelines contained within the Heritage Conservation District Plan will supersede existing policies contained within OPA #210, and OPA #669 (Thornhill Yonge Street Official Plan); and the City's Comprehensive Zoning By-law 1-88. OPA 669 is recent and inclusive of heritage principles reflected in the proposed plan, however, By-law 1-88 should be reviewed to ensure conformity with the approved Heritage Conservation District Plan.

CITY OF VAUGHAN

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF SEPTEMBER 24, 2007

Item 3, CW(PH) Report No. 42 – Page 3

The Thornhill Heritage Conservation District was among the first in the province to be established. The boundary of the District, which was established by By-law No. 306-88, remains the same. The first task for the consultants in the District Plan review was the examination of the existing District Plan and other documents regulating development in the area, such as official Plans, zoning and signage by-laws.

An examination of the District was also conducted, including both a general consideration of its heritage character and assets, and a new District Inventory individually describing and depicting every property in the District. The third part of the review is the establishment of a community consultation process. The public consultation process undertaken by the Consultant and City staff with the Ward Councillor, residents, public school representatives and business owners formed an important part in identifying areas of concern that could be addressed through this Heritage Conservation District Review.

Community Consultation

There were a total of three public consultation meetings to engage the public in the District Review process. The individual concerns and issues raised, as well as some of the individual preferences expressed for the study area are as follows:

Areas of Concern Requiring Improvement

- i) Noise, traffic, lack of pedestrian crossings on Yonge Street;
- ii) Overhead utility wires;
- iii) Automotive uses on Yonge Street such as sales, rentals and servicing of cars;
- iv) Littering on Centre Street;
- v) Lack of quality restaurants and retail; and,
- vi) Monster homes and out of character buildings, and generally redevelopment which is inappropriate in scale.

Preferences for the Heritage District

- i) Limit the size of new buildings;
- ii) Allow greater density on Yonge Street;
- iii) Burial of utility wires in heritage district;
- iv) Street lights more reflective of the heritage character of the street;
- v) More walkways and decorative street paving;
- vi) Flexibility in the Heritage Conservation District for owners who are co-operating in preservation of historic properties;
- v) Flexibility for owners with regards to the pruning and removal of sick and dead trees; and,
- vi) Tax relief for owners of historic properties undertaking improvements.

The community meetings served to inform the residents of changes proposed to the 1984 Thornhill Heritage Conservation District Plan as a result of new Ontario Heritage Act policies, and the OPA 669 Policies. The meetings also provided a venue to inform the community of the various tools provided by the Heritage Conservation District Plan to protect and enhance the heritage character of Thornhill; as well as to solicit input from stakeholders to clarify/improve sections of the proposed plan wherever necessary.

The Thornhill Heritage Conservation District Plan was presented in draft form to the Heritage Vaughan Committee on May 16, 2007. The Committee received the Plan and requested that they have the opportunity to review the final draft. On June 20, 2007, Heritage Vaughan approved the final draft of the Thornhill Heritage Conservation District Plan.

CITY OF VAUGHAN

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF SEPTEMBER 24, 2007

Item 3, CW(PH) Report No. 42 – Page 4

Official Plan/Zoning

The southern portion of the Study area is designated “Institutional”, “Open Space/Plaza”, “Mid-Rise Mixed Use”, “Heritage Mainstreet”, and “Low Density Residential”, under OPA #669 (Thornhill/Yonge Street Official Plan). The lands are also subject to the Urban Design Policies of OPA # 669.

The northern portion of the Study Area (north of the Thornhill Golf Course), is designated “General Commercial” by OPA 462, and “Open Space”, “Parkway Belt”, and “Low Density Residential” by OPA 210 (Thornhill Vaughan Community Plan). The lands in this northern portion of the study area are also subject to the “Guidelines for Site Development within the Village of Thornhill Heritage Conservation District”. At the time that OPA # 210 is amended, any relevant changes resulting from this Heritage Conservation District Study should amend those policies currently in OPA #210.

The Subject Lands are zoned C1, C2, and C6 Commercial Zones; OS1 Open Space Conservation, OS2 Open Space Park, and PB1 Parkway Belt Open Space Zones; R1V Single Detached Large Lot Residential, R1 Single Detached Residential, and RM2 Multiple Dwelling Residential Zones.

Terms of Reference

The key tasks outlined in the Terms of Reference for the Heritage District Study and approved by Council on September 12, 2006 are outlined below:

1. Review the existing Thornhill Heritage District Study/Plan policies, guidelines, processes and procedures, as well as other relevant policy documentation including, but not limited to, the Markham Thornhill Heritage Conservation Study, OPA 669, the Thornhill Yonge Street Study (2005), and the Thornhill-Vaughan Community Plan –OPA 210.
2. Identify issues of concern related to the Plan, including those of the local community through public consultation meetings, including the participation of Heritage Vaughan, and the Society for the Preservation of Historic Thornhill.
3. Consult with Heritage Vaughan and City staff from the Cultural Services Department.
4. Update the Plan consistent with the new policies of OPA 669.
5. Formulate and assess options to address deficiencies and identified areas of concern.
6. The Study was projected to be completed by the summer of 2007.

District Policies

Objectives for the Heritage District Plan

The overall objective in reviewing the District Plan is to ensure the retention and conservation of the District’s cultural heritage resources and heritage character, and to guide change so that it contributes to and does not detract from, the District’s architectural, historical, and contextual character.

Heritage Buildings

The objectives of the Plan for the Heritage Buildings identified in the City of Vaughan Listings of

CITY OF VAUGHAN

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF SEPTEMBER 24, 2007

Item 3, CW(PH) Report No. 42 – Page 5

Buildings of Architectural and Historical Value, are to correct unsympathetic alterations, and facilitate restorations. Heritage buildings as identified by the City of Vaughan Listings of Buildings of Architectural and Historic Value are to be conserved and (retained) as necessary. Relocation of a heritage building should only be employed as a last resort. Before such a building can be approved for relocation to any other site the following alternatives, in order of priority, will be investigated:

- i) Retention of the building in its original location and use;
- ii) Retention of the building on site in an adaptive reuse;
- iii) Relocation of the building to another part of the original site;
- iv) Relocation of the building to another site in the District; and,
- v) Relocation of the building to an appropriate site within the City of Vaughan.

The City, through the Ontario Heritage Act, may refuse a demolition permit or an application for relocation for either an individually designated building or a building located within the District.

Non-Heritage Buildings

The objective of the District Plan respecting the non-heritage buildings is to encourage retention of buildings supportive of heritage character and improvements to these buildings to enhance the District's heritage character. Alternatives and additions to non-heritage buildings in the District should be consistent with one of two design approaches:

- i) Historical conversion or contemporary alternatives; and,
- ii) A modern building should be altered in a way that respects and complements its original design.

New Residential Buildings on Local Streets

New residential buildings are to have respect for and be compatible with the heritage character of the District. Designs for new residential buildings should be based on the patterns and proportions of 19th century and early 20th century building stock currently found, or which had existed in the Thornhill Heritage Conservation District. The following design policies apply to new residential buildings:

- i) Design should reflect one of the historic architectural styles traditionally found in the District;
- ii) The buildings should complement the immediate physical context and streetscape by generally maintaining height, width and orientation of adjacent buildings, similar setback, and like use of materials and colours;
- iii) Larger new residential buildings will have varied massing to reflect the small and varied scale of the historical village;
- iv) The height of new residential buildings should not be less than 80% or more than 120% of the average height of the residential buildings on immediately adjacent properties. Notwithstanding this height limit, two storey houses are permitted next to one storey houses if the ground floor is no more than 1 metre above original grade; and,
- v) New residential buildings should conform to the design guidelines contained in the proposed Thornhill Heritage Conservation District Plan.

CITY OF VAUGHAN

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF SEPTEMBER 24, 2007

Item 3, CW(PH) Report No. 42 – Page 6

New Residential or Commercial Development on Yonge Street

The proposed Heritage Conservation Plan endorses the policies of the Thornhill Yonge Street OPA 669. Official Plan Amendment No. 669 provides urban design guidelines to establish a high quality, pedestrian friendly main street environment; and promotes new mixed use development that is appropriate to the transit corridor and existing heritage resources.

The proposed Heritage Conservation District Plan supports the Heritage Mainstreet 1-3 storey heights and recommends that for consistency of scale and massing within the Heritage Conservation District, the stretch of Yonge Street north of Mill Street and extending to Thornhill Avenue, be studied under the same principles as those for the Thornhill Yonge Street Study (2005). The following design policies apply to new commercial buildings:

- i) The design of new commercial buildings should reflect an historic architectural style either traditionally found in the district or reflect traditional commercial architecture in a typical historic Ontario downtown setting;
- ii) In the case of larger developments, design elements or techniques which reduce the actual and perceived scale should be used;
- iii) Maximum building heights approved in OPA 669 are supported. On sites where buildings over 3 storeys are permitted, the height of new buildings will include a transition of heights to respect heights of adjacent buildings;
- iv) Building heights on Yonge Street should preserve sky views of residential properties behind, based on angular planes of 45 degrees from the base of the residential buildings; and,
- v) New commercial building construction in the District will conform with the design guidelines provided in the proposed Heritage Conservation District Plan and design policies of OPA 669.

Architectural Guidelines

The proposed Plan details architectural styles which are appropriate for the Thornhill Heritage Conservation District and provides examples of these styles through illustrations and descriptions of buildings and architectural elements. The guidelines also detail repair and renovation techniques as well as appropriate building materials which should be used to ensure authenticity.

Guidelines for historical conservation and new development are also provided with respect to architectural characteristics, building materials, scale, detail and the siting of the building on the lot.

Landscape Treatment

The objective of the proposed heritage district plan is to facilitate introduction of, as well as conservation of, historic landscape treatments in both the public and private realm.

Landscape features and landscaping help to define the character of the District, and to provide an appropriate setting for its historic buildings. The Ontario Heritage Act includes policies to protect trees, vegetation, pathways and property features such as fences and other landscape elements that are of cultural heritage value as intended. The introduction of complementary landscapes to the heritage environment is encouraged. The following policies respecting landscape treatment are proposed in the Thornhill Heritage Conservation District Plan:

CITY OF VAUGHAN

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF SEPTEMBER 24, 2007

Item 3, CW(PH) Report No. 42 – Page 7

A. Planting

- i) Healthy mature trees shall be preserved as required by the City of Vaughan Private Property Tree Protection By-law No. 185-2007. When considering the removal of any trees the property owner must ensure compliance with this by-law;
- ii) New trees and shrubs should be hardy, long living and suitable for their environments; and,
- iii) Planting should not obscure heritage buildings, but can frame important features. Appropriate planting can improve less attractive sites in the District.

B. Fences

- i) Fences will be regulated by the municipal fence by-law;
- ii) Existing historical fences will be preserved. The erection of fences of historical design is encouraged; and,
- iii) Front yard and backyard fences shall conform to the guidelines included in the proposed plan.

C. Driveways (Residential)

- i) Driveways shall be narrow in width to preserve the front yard;
- ii) Curvilinear driveways are not permitted;
- iii) Driveway entrances should not be gated; and,
- iv) Residential driveways shall conform to the guidelines provided in the Plan.

Signage

The City of Vaughan By-law 203-92 which regulates signage in the City, contains provisions (Section 11) for Special Sign Districts such as the Thornhill Heritage Conservation Area. It is noted in the proposed plan that many of the existing signs in the Heritage District contravene By-law 203-92 and that enforcement of the policies may be an issue. The following regulations apply specifically to signs within the Heritage District:

- i) Commercial signage shall generally be regulated by the municipal sign by-law;
- ii) The Thornhill Special Sign district should conform to the Heritage District Boundary; and,
- iii) Prominent signage in the District will be consistent.

The number of signs should be kept to a minimum, not block architectural features, and generally be located above the storefront or business. The Plan also recommends that the By-law be amended to include the following additional policy:

- (i) Internally illuminated signs and awning signs are prohibited.

Streetscaping

Work within the road allowance should be designed and executed to meet median requirements, amenity and convenience, without detriment to the heritage character of the District. Thornhill is characterized by two distinct contexts; the residential areas and the Yonge Street commercial core. The goals of the Guidelines for streetscaping are:

CITY OF VAUGHAN

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF SEPTEMBER 24, 2007

Item 3, CW(PH) Report No. 42 – Page 8

- i) Enhancing the historical character of the road allowance in the residential areas;
- ii) Creation of a pedestrian-friendly shopping environment in the commercial core;
- iii) Establishing identity through gateways, signage and markers; and,
- iv) Establishing attractive pedestrian connections to open spaces, institutions, residential areas, etc.

Guidelines for Streetscaping on Local Streets

- i) The rural profile should be preserved where it exists;
- ii) Over time, grassed boulevards should be provided where they do not already exist; and,
- iii) Maintain an informal pattern for planting with a mix of deciduous trees and shrubs.

Guidelines for Streetscaping on Yonge Street

The proposed Thornhill Heritage Conservation District Plan has included the design provisions and land use designations of recent OPA 669. It further recommends that the urban design policies and streetscape policies of OPA 669 should apply to the remainder of the Yonge Street Heritage Area currently not covered by the Official Plan where appropriate.

Creation of a pedestrian friendly commercial environment is the most important objective of streetscape work in the Commercial Core. Lighting and street furniture should be consistent, and appropriate throughout the heritage conservation area.

This effort is being co-ordinated between the City of Vaughan, the Town of Markham and York Region Transit to ensure that each aspect of the streetscape work is consistent throughout the Thornhill Heritage Conservation District, and that materials chosen for boulevard and crosswalk treatments are in keeping with the heritage character of the district.

The Plan also contains a section on appropriate planting materials and a building material checklist. The streetscaping principles highlighted in the Plan for choosing appropriate planting and building materials include:

- i) Adaptability to the automotive streetscape is important. Modern accessories like waste receptacle and street lighting should be placed near to the curb, where they form a transition band between the heritage experience of the buildings and the modern experience of the roadway;
- ii) Do not accentuate non-heritage installations; a simple modern item is less obtrusive than a deliberately “historical” version;
- iii) Maintain historical integrity;
- iv) Since Thornhill was a modest village, street furniture and lighting should be simple and functional;
- v) Utility installations such as overhead wiring, telecommunications boxes and transformers should be located so that they are not readily visible from the street. It is strongly recommended that utility wires and related infrastructure (transformers, etc.) be located below grade or within buildings in the District area. It is important that the wires be removed from the streetscape area, should they remain on the surface, they take up streetscape area which is required for tree planting, pedestrian walkway, and furnishing area and are unsightly; and,

CITY OF VAUGHAN

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF SEPTEMBER 24, 2007

Item 3, CW(PH) Report No. 42 – Page 9

- vi) Public signage, including directional, regulatory, identity and public information signs should be co-ordinated through-out the district to promote a co-ordinated identity. Commemorative and interpretive signs for buildings of cultural heritage value should be co-ordinated with other directional signs and be consistent and discreet throughout.

Land Use In The District

The proposed study recommends that the existing district land use and prevailing zoning classifications be respected, however, that zoning By-law 1-88 be reviewed to examine whether it is appropriate to develop a zoning overlay for all or part of the District to reflect the existing built form. In addition, Committee of Adjustment applications should also be examined for conformity with the provisions of the Heritage Conservation District Study.

New provisions under the District Plan propose that Site Plan Control apply to all properties within the District. The Study further recommends that more complex development proposals be reviewed by a design professional who is licensed by the Ontario Association of Architects and is a professional member of the Canadian Association of Professional Consultants, in consultation with Heritage Vaughan, and the Cultural Services and Planning Development Departments.

Community Support

An underlying goal of the proposed heritage district plan is to foster community support, pride and appreciation of the heritage buildings and to offer assistance and incentives to heritage property owners to encourage the use of proper conservation approaches. It is also understood that the business owners must maintain a competitive business environment in the commercial core and therefore the district plan recommends that financial incentives such as tax measures, grants and loans, and financial aids through community improvement plans be considered.

Recent provincial legislation allows municipalities to enact property tax abatement for properties designated under Part 1V and V of the Heritage Act. Grants and loans are often very effective in encouraging proper repair and restoration of heritage attributes.

Relationship to Vaughan Vision 2007

This report is consistent with objective 4.6 of the Vaughan Vision 2007 statement to “Preserve and Enhance the Natural and Built Heritage Environment”.

Regional Implications

The proposed Thornhill heritage Conservation Plan is in accordance with the Regional objective to recognize, conserve and promote cultural heritage resources and to perpetuate their value and benefit to the community.

Conclusion

The proposed Thornhill Heritage Conservation Plan 2007 incorporates the current Ontario Heritage Act practices and policies, and the Official Plan 669 policies for the Thornhill Yonge Street Commercial core. The Plan is comprehensive and includes policies and illustrative guidelines for preservation and restoration of heritage and non-heritage buildings on local streets, and Yonge Street, architectural guidelines for new buildings, as well as guidelines for general landscape and streetscape treatment.

CITY OF VAUGHAN

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF SEPTEMBER 24, 2007

Item 3, CW(PH) Report No. 42 – Page 10

Staff recommends that the Thornhill Vaughan Heritage Conservation District Plan be received for Council consideration and that any issues identified be addressed in a comprehensive report to a future meeting of the Committee of the whole.

Attachments

1. Thornhill Vaughan Heritage Conservation District Boundary Area
2. Updated 2007 Thornhill Heritage Conservation District Building Inventory (Members of Council ONLY)
3. Proposed 2007 Thornhill Vaughan Heritage Conservation District Study/Plan (Members of Council ONLY)

Report prepared by:

Anna Sicilia, Planner, ext 8063
Wayne McEachern, Manager, ext 8026

(A copy of the attachments referred to in the foregoing have been forwarded to each Member of Council and a copy thereof is also on file in the office of the City Clerk.)