EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF JUNE 28, 2011



Item 3, Report No. 14, of the Finance and Administration Committee, which was adopted and amended, by the Council of the City of Vaughan on June 28, 2011, as follows:

By approving the Terms of Reference for the Council Budgets Task Force attached to Communication C12 from the City Clerk, dated June 23, 2011.

COUNCIL EXPENDITURE BUDGETS

The Finance and Administration Committee recommends:

1) That the Council Budgets Task Force be re-established and membership be comprised of:

Mayor Bevilacqua, Ex-officio Regional Councillor Rosati Councillor Carella Councillor DeFrancesca Councillor Racco

2) That the following report of the Commissioner of Finance/City Treasurer and the Director of Budgeting and Financial Planning, dated June 20, 2011, be received.

Recommendation

3

The Commissioner of Finance/City Treasurer and the Director of Budgeting and Financial Planning recommend:

That the Finance and Administration Committee provide additional direction regarding the process to review the Council expense budget, which was endorsed by Council on April 5th, 2011.

Contribution to Sustainability

Sustainability by definition focuses on the ability to maintain a function over a period of time. Responsible budgeting allocates resources in a responsible way to sustain the City's operations and aspirations, balancing both current and future requirements.

Economic Impact

N/A

Communications Plan

N/A

Purpose

The purpose of this report is to request direction regarding the process to review the Council expense budget, which was endorsed by Council on April 5th, 2011.

Background - Analysis and Options

On April 5th, 2011, Council adopted the following Council Budget Task Force recommendation: "That a review be conducted prior to the submission of the Council expense budget for 2012" With the 2012 -2014 budget process underway, it is important to understand the requirements, scope, timeframe and resource assignments in order to fulfill the above recommendation. During the 2011 budget process a Council Budget Taskforce was struck, consisting of four Ward Council members, to address issues raised by Members of Council. However, as directed by the current procedural by-law, the purpose of that task force ended with the approval of the 2011 budget. Moving forward, staff is requesting additional direction on how the Committee and Members of Council would like to proceed with conducting the requested review by asking the following questions:

- 1. What is the review mandate, scope, and timeline?
- 2. Who will perform the review? Are other resources involved?

Relationship to Vaughan Vision 2020/Strategic Plan

Budgeting is the process to allocate and approve the resources necessary to continue operations and implement Council's approved plans.

Regional Implications

There are no regional implications associated with this report.

Conclusion

Staff is requesting further direction regarding the Council expense budget review in order to achieve the desired results.

Attachments

N/A

Report prepared by:

John Henry, CMA Director of Budgeting & Financial Planning Ext. 8384

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF APRIL 5, 2011

Item 1, Report No. 10, of the Finance and Administration Committee, which was adopted without amendment by the Council of the City of Vaughan on April 5, 2011.

COUNCIL EXPENDITURE BUDGETS

The Finance and Administration Committee recommends:

- 1) That the recommendation contained in the following report of the Council Budgets Task Force, dated April 1, 2011, be approved; and
- 2) That the recommendation be included in the Draft Operating Budget for consideration at the April 5, 2011 Special Council Meeting.

Recommendation

The Council Budgets Task Force recommends:

- 1) That \$50,000 be allocated equally to each Ward Councillor from the budgets of Council Corporate (\$15,000), Disaster Relief (\$5,000), Participation in Provincial and Federal Bodies (\$10,000), and the remaining \$35,000 from Communities in Bloom; and
- That a review be conducted prior to the submission of the Council Expense Budget for 2012.

Contribution to Sustainability

N/A

1

Economic Impact

There are no economic impacts of this report, as the option proposed by the Council Budgets Task Force does not result in an increase in overall Council budgets.

Communications Plan

N/A

Purpose

The purpose of this report is to provide the Finance and Administration Committee with the Council Budgets Task Force's recommendation for allocating funds to the discretionary Ward Councillor budgets.

Background - Analysis and Options

On February 7, 2011, the Finance and Administration Committee recommended that a Task Force be established to address issues raised by Members of Council regarding the Council Expenditure Budgets and report to the Finance and Administration Committee meeting of February 22, 2011. The Council Budgets Task Force consisting of Councillors Carella, DeFrancesca, lafrate, and Racco, met on February 11th and 16th to address issues raised. Following deliberations, the Task Force submitted a report to the Finance and Administration Committee meeting of February 22, 2011, recommending an option to address the issue of the allocation of budget funds to the Councillor budgets.

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF APRIL 5, 2011

Item 1, Finance Report No. 10-Page 2

The Task Force's report of February 22, 2011, was subsequently deferred to the Finance and Administration Committee meeting on March 28, 2011. The Committee reviewed the Task Force's recommendation and directed that the Council Budgets Task Force reconvene once again for the purpose of reviewing the Councillor office expenditure budgets recognizing the needs of Local Ward Councillors. The Task Force was directed to submit a proposal increasing the Local Ward Councillors budget no later than April 1, 2011.

The Task Force met on March 29, 2011 and following the discussion, the City Clerk was requested to submit the Task Force's recommendation to the Finance and Administration Committee meeting on April 1, 2011. The Task Force's recommendation is before the Committee for consideration.

Pursuant to the Procedural By-law, a matter considered by an ad-hoc committee that requires a decision of Council shall be separately reported to the appropriate committee, which is the purpose of this report.

Relationship to Vaughan Vision 2020/Strategic Plan

N/A

Regional Implications

There are no Regional implications associated with this report.

Conclusion

The Council Budgets Task Force's recommendation is before the Committee for consideration.

Attachments

Attachment 1:

C1, Report of the Council Budgets Task Force, Finance and Administration

Committee meeting of March 28, 2011 (Item 2, Report No. 8)

Attachment 2: C1, Extract from the Council meeting of February 15, 2011

Report prepared by:

R. Magnifico Assistant City Clerk

(A copy of the attachments referred to in the foregoing have been forwarded to each Member of Council and a copy thereof is also on file in the office of the City Clerk.)

Please also refer to Item 3, Report No. 6, Item 2, Report No. 8 and Item 1, Report No. 9, Finance and Administration Committee for further disposition regarding this matter.

FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE - APRIL 1, 2011

COUNCIL EXPENDITURE BUDGETS

Recommendation

The Council Budgets Task Force recommends:

- 1) That \$50,000 be allocated equally to each Ward Councillor from the budgets of Council Corporate (\$15,000), Disaster Relief (\$5,000), Participation in Provincial and Federal Bodies (\$10,000), and the remaining \$35,000 from Communities in Bloom; and
- That a review be conducted prior to the submission of the Council Expense Budget for 2012.

Contribution to Sustainability

N/A

Economic Impact

There are no economic impacts of this report, as the option proposed by the Council Budgets Task Force does not result in an increase in overall Council budgets.

Communications Plan

N/A

<u>Purpose</u>

The purpose of this report is to provide the Finance and Administration Committee with the Council Budgets Task Force's recommendation for allocating funds to the discretionary Ward Councillor budgets.

Background - Analysis and Options

On February 7, 2011, the Finance and Administration Committee recommended that a Task Force be established to address issues raised by Members of Council regarding the Council Expenditure Budgets and report to the Finance and Administration Committee meeting of February 22, 2011. The Council Budgets Task Force consisting of Councillors Carella, DeFrancesca, lafrate, and Racco, met on February 11th and 16th to address issues raised. Following deliberations, the Task Force submitted a report to the Finance and Administration Committee meeting of February 22, 2011, recommending an option to address the issue of the allocation of budget funds to the Councillor budgets.

The Task Force's report of February 22, 2011, was subsequently deferred to the Finance and Administration Committee meeting on March 28, 2011. The Committee reviewed the Task Force's recommendation and directed that the Council Budgets Task Force reconvene once again for the purpose of reviewing the Councillor office expenditure budgets recognizing the needs of Local Ward Councillors. The Task Force was directed to submit a proposal increasing the Local Ward Councillors budget no later than April 1, 2011.

The Task Force met on March 29, 2011 and following the discussion, the City Clerk was requested to submit the Task Force's recommendation to the Finance and Administration Committee meeting on April 1, 2011. The Task Force's recommendation is before the Committee for consideration.

Pursuant to the Procedural By-law, a matter considered by an ad-hoc committee that requires a decision of Council shall be separately reported to the appropriate committee, which is the purpose of this report.

Relationship to Vaughan Vision 2020/Strategic Plan

N/A

Regional Implications

There are no Regional implications associated with this report.

Conclusion

The Council Budgets Task Force's recommendation is before the Committee for consideration.

Attachments

Attachment 1:

C1, Report of the Council Budgets Task Force, Finance and Administration

Committee meeting of March 28, 2011 (Item 2, Report No. 8)

Attachment 2:

C1, Extract from the Council meeting of February 15, 2011

Report prepared by:

R. Magnifico Assistant City Clerk

Respectfully submitted,

Jeffrey A. Abrams City Clerk

ATTACHMENT 1

Council Budgets Taskforce COMMUNICATION C. 1 Date: Mar 29/11 ITEM NO.

FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE - MARCH 28, 2011

COUNCIL EXPENDITURE BUDGETS (Deferred)

Recommendation of the Finance and Administration Committee of March 21, 2011

The Finance and Administration Committee, at its meeting of March 21, 2011 (Item 2 Report No. 8), recommended the following:

The Finance and Administration Committee recommends:

- 1) That this matter be deferred for consideration to the Finance and Administration Committee meeting of March 28, 2011; and
- That communication C3, Member's Resolution submitted by Regional Councillor Rosati, dated March 21, 2011, be received.

Recommendation of the Finance and Administration Committee of March 8, 2011

The Finance and Administration Committee, at its meeting of March 8, 2011 (Item 3 Report No. 6), recommended the following:

That this matter be deferred for consideration to the Finance and Administration Committee meeting of March 21, 2011.

Consideration of this matter was deferred to the March 8, 2011, meeting due to the meeting of March 1, 2011, being adjourned.

Recommendation of the Finance and Administration Committee of February 22, 2011

The Finance and Administration Committee, at its meeting of February 22, 2011 (Item 2 Report No. 4), recommended the following:

That this matter be deferred for consideration to the Finance and Administration Committee meeting of March 1, 2011.

Report of the Council Budgets Task Force, dated February 22, 2011

Recommendation

The Council Budgets Task Force recommends:

- 1. That Option #6 as described in the attached report be approved; and
- That the Council Member 2011 budgets be adjusted accordingly.

Contribution to Sustainability

N/A

Economic Impact

There are no economic impacts of this report, as the option proposed by the Council Budgets Task Force does not result in an increase in overall Council budgets.

Communications Plan

N/A

<u>Purpose</u>

The purpose of this report is to convey to the Finance and Administration Committee the Council Budgets Task Force's preferred option for allocating funds to discretionary Council Member budgets.

Background - Analysis and Options

On February 7, 2011, the Finance and Administration Committee recommended that a sub-committee composed of Councillors Carella, DeFrancesca, lafrate and Racco be established to address the issues raised by Members of Council with respect to Council Expenditure Budgets. The sub-committee was directed to report back to the Finance and Administration Committee meeting of February 22, 2011.

The sub-committee (the Council Budgets Task Force) met on February 11th and February 16th to consider options that would address the issue of allocation of budget funds to Council discretionary budgets.

Following the discussion at the February 11th meeting, Finance staff provided 7 options for the Task Force's consideration on February 16^{th} . The options illustrated various methodologies for allocating additional funds to the Ward Councillors.

The Council Budgets Task Force has recommended Option #6, as set out in the attached report. Pursuant to the Procedural By-law, a matter considered by a sub-committee that requires a decision of Council shall be separately reported to the appropriate committee, which is the purpose of this report.

Relationship to Vaughan Vision 2020/Strategic Plan

N/A

Regional Implications

There are no Regional Implications associated with this report.

Conclusion

The Council Budgets Task Force's has recommended Option #6, as set out in the attached report.

Attachments

Attachment 1 - Report to the Council Budgets Tax Force Meeting - February 16, 2011

Attachment 2 - Communication C3, Member's Resolution submitted by Regional Councillor Rosati, dated March 21, 2011

Report prepared by:

Barb Cribbett, CMA Commissioner of Finance/City Treasurer

COUNCIL BUDGET'S TASK FORCE MEETING - FEBRUARY 16, 2011

COUNCIL EXPENDITURE BUDGETS

The Director of Budgeting and Financial Planning recommends that;

The following report on the Council Discretionary Expense Budget options be received for information and discussion purposes;

That the Council Budget Task Force provide direction on the preferred option to present to the Finance and Administration Committee.

Recommendation:

N/A

Contribution to Sustainability

Sustainability by definition focuses on the ability to maintain a function over a period of time. Responsible budgeting allocates resources in a responsible way to sustain the City's operations and aspirations, balancing both current and future requirements.

Economic Impact

N/A

Communications Plan

N/A

Purpose

The purpose of this report is to provide Council Budget Task Force with additional information and details regarding the Council Discretionary Expense Budget options and associated outcome discussed on February 11, 2011.

Background -- Analysis and Options

On February 11, 2011, The Council Budget Task Force directed staff to advised on the following information:

- a) That the budget of each Ward Councillor as currently calculated be augmented by funds derived from the reduction in the multiplier used in determining the budgets of Regional Councillors from 50% of the population of the City to 33.3% of the population, and that the allocation of the budgets for Ward Councillors be calculated in such a way as to give consideration to both the population of each Ward and the percentage of the number of businesses in each Ward; and
- That alternative options also be provided without reducing the Regional Councillors' budgets

In response to this request, the enclosed attachments reflect a summary and detail of options and budget changes.

Relationship to Vaughan Vision 2020/Strategic Plan

The Draft 2011/2012 Operating Budget is the process to allocate and approve the resources necessary to continue operations and implement Council's approved plans.

Regional Implications

There are no regional implications associated with this report.

Conclusion

Various Council Discretionary Expense Budget options have been provided to the Council Budget Task Force for review.

Staff is requesting the Council Budget Task Force select the preferred option to be presented to the Finance and Administration Committee.

Attachments

Attachment #1-Summary of Council Budgets Task Force Options Attachment #2-Council Budgets Task Force - Option Detail

Report prepared by:

John Henry, CMA Director of Budgeting & Financial Planning Ext. 8384.

Respectfully submitted,

dohn Henry,

Director of Budgeting & Financial Planning

Summary of Council Budget Task Force Options

						2000							
-		10,120				3	- PASCIBIL	2011 - Discrettionary Budget Option					
Councillor		C STATE OF		Childh	7	Option 3	203	Option 4 & 6 (Same)	· lames	2 - 3-0			
	Draft Budget	Red alloc	Budget	Rec allon	Riddet	Dog offer	2000		(1)	e uozdo		Option 7	
		Bus, Based	_		Adjust	Ave along	100000	States, alloc.	Budget	Reg. alloc.	Budget	Reg. whole	Budget
Mayor Bevilacqua	110.825	110 825		440 006			Tollar.	स्टाट्ट ११० व्यक्त	Adjust	3/4 res, 1/4 bus.	Adjust	2/3 res. 1/3 bus.	Adiust
Regional Councillor Rosati	73 750	49.167	(24 583)	49 167	(70 ERC)	20,070	, 20	110,625	١	110,625	,	110.625	£
Regional Councillor Michael Di Blase	73.750	49,167	(24.583)	49 167	(24 582)	101,07	(505,42)	49,167	(24,583)	49,167	(24,583)	73.751	
Regional Councillor Deb Schulte	73,750	49.167	(24.583)	49.167	(24 5 AC)	701 SY	(c4,202)	79,167	(24,583)	49,167	(24,583)	73,751	
Ward 1 Councillor lafrate	37.250	35,093	3 843	46.875	45 ROE	700 07	(2007)	/91/94	(24,583)	49,167	(24,583)	73,751	*****
Ward 2 Councillor Carella	37.250	41.056	9 80E	46.875	45.605	405 04	45/25	42,948	11,698	43,930 }	12,680	42,948	11.698
Ward & Councillor DeFrancesca	29,000	45,303	16.303	43 500	14 800	12,000	0 7 4	44,936	13,686	45,421	14,171	44,936	13,686
Ward 4 Councillor Yeung Racco	21,000	56.212	35.272	34.500	200	42,854	20,400	44,101	15,104	48,851	14,851	44,101	15,101
Ward 5 Councillor Shefman	35,000	43,584	8,584	52,499	17,499	48,042	13.042	39,737	18,737	37,678	15,678	39,737	18,737
Totals	479.375	479.875	, '	370 978		070 077	3	משמים ב	14,320	1.77,0c	15,2/1	49,528	14,528
Tax rate adjustment				3		7/0'8/+	<u> </u>	478,377	8	479,376	-	.253 130.	237.55
			-		1		1						<0.05f%
Average Ward Councillor	29,500	44,250	14,750	44,250	14.750	64.249	44 749	03677	44.75			新加州 · 多数	
						2	2.11.	ハウチルト	14,730	44,250	14.750 F		1,03% 7.

Attachment #2

6.58% 0.53

CPI 2006-2010 - cumm. CPI Adj. rate \$

Council Budgets Task Force - Option Detail

Ward Data	2011 Pop, Est	St	2010 # of Business Est.	12010 Employment Fet	
Ward 1	. 62 500	7036	475		
	1				%/
Ward Z	62,500	25%	1212		7000
Ward 3	58 000	200%	2000		1270
		5	2012		79%
Ward 4	42 000	707 5	4950		2
	77	2	7004		54%
Ward 5	70.000	24%	1061 49%	44084	
logal	295,000	100%		160255	
				20120	

OPTION #1 - Regional population assignment = 1/3 & Ward Council assigned a business rate in addition to the existing budget

Per Data Regional 33,33% Mayor 75% Population assignment

\$6,50

Discretionary population rate Discretionary business rate

				Discre	fionary E	Discretionary Expenditure Formula	Formula		Buda	Budget Comparison	non-
Comeiller	Salaries	Appli	Applied Data	Applied Rates	Rates	Discretionary sub-totals	sub-totals	Total	Revised	Orininal	
	& Benefits							Discretionary	2011	2011	Change
		Pop.	#of Bus.	Pop.	Bus.	Residential	Business	(Opt 1 Res +Bus.)	Budget	Budget	
Mayor Bevilacqua	\$138,916	221,250		\$0,50	\$8,09	\$110.625	Ü	\$440 ROE!	POJO EAN	COAN EAR	0.6
Regional Councillor Rosati	\$89.548	98,333		50.50	\$8.00	449 187	\$ 6	0.01.54	10000	11 40 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	2
Bacional Councillar Michael Di Diace	070 000	0000		0 0	9 6		2	1701 656	4136,735	\$162,29B	(\$24,583)
Cognital Committee Michael Diase	010°	20,00		20.00	50,00	\$49,167	80	\$49,167	\$138 715	\$163 298	(\$24,583)
Regional Councillor Deb Schulte	\$89,548	58,333		\$0,50	\$8.09	549,167	Ç,	549 167	\$128 71E	4489 300	1007 1001
Ward 1 Councillor lafrate	\$89 548	R9 500	475	A0 A0	00 00	000 700	9		- COO A	100,0010	(000,424)
Carried of Contraction of Contraction	12,000	200	ř.	3	0	1007/10e	545,54	\$32,083	\$124,641	M 20 798	\$3,843
	*88,548	006,20	1,212	\$0.50	28.09	\$31,250	89,808	\$41.056	\$130,604	8420 798	80 808
Ward 3 Councillor DeFrancesca	\$89,548	58,000	2,015	\$0.50	\$8,09	\$29,000	\$16.303	84E 303	840 / OF4	074 0770	2000
Ward 4 Councillor Yearn Racco	\$80 548	45 000	4 250	03 EO	00 00	000	0.00	000,000	o i	000	500°01¢
14/41 C 11 1		3	7,000	2	200	200,120	X1X1000	\$26,212	\$145,760	\$10.548	\$35.212
Ward o Councillor Sherman	\$89,548	70,000	1,061	\$0.50	\$8.09	\$35,000	\$8,584	\$43.584	\$133,132	\$124.548	\$8.584
Totals	\$855,298 811,250	311,250	9,115			\$405,626		8479 9478	24 224 675	\$4 224 675 C4 224 675	
					1			in total that	D 1014005+ A	C 170440011 0	7,04

4

Council Budgets Task Force - Option Detail

OPTION #2 - Regional population assignment = 1/3 & Ward Council population rate changed to \$0.75

Other	\$0.50 \$0.75 \$0.00
Ward Per Data	
Regional	
Mayor 75%	
inouts Population assignment	Discretionary population rate (MayorlReg.) Discretionary population rate (Ward) Discretionary business rate

					3						
				Discre	conary r	Discretionary Expenditure Formula	Formula		Nei Se	Budget Compagner	1
	Salaries	Appli	Applied Data	Annifed Sates	Sator	Diearotenou	7.7.7		2221	S Collings	200
Companios				Tandat.	MICO	partellollary sub-totals	sim-totais	Total	Revised	Original	
	& Benefits				_			:			•
		9	# *	1	Č	:	1	Discretionary	2011	2011	Change
Marion Davido and		3	* C DGS	rop.	DIIS.	Kesidential	Business	Opti \$.75 ward Coun.	Budget	Budget	
Highor Devideouz	4138,916	221,250		80.50	\$0.00	\$110 625	G.	1000 0776	2,700	,	
Regional Councillor Rosati	\$89.548	98,333		Q1 120	20.08	2000	9 6	iczo'nt te	1748,541	\$249,541	9
Regional Councillor Michael Di Biaco	074 000	0000			20.00	201 014	Ď,	\$49,167	\$138,715	\$163 298	(524 583)
Doublet Controlled Wildiam of Diame	940'800	5,555		\$0.50	89.00	\$49,167	8	167	£420 7. E	9400	1002
Aegional Councillo? Deb Schulle	\$89,5481			\$0.50	00 US	\$40 ART	. 6	10100	2 4	000000	(\$55,555)
Ward 1 Councillor lafrate	\$89.548		475	\$0.75	9	701 076	9 6	791,167	\$138,715	\$163,298	(\$24,583)
Ward 2 Councillor Carella	400 270	000 00	970 7	2 6	2	0/0/0	3	\$46,875]	\$136,423	\$120,798	\$15,625
	010,000		7,7,1	5.3	00°0±	\$46,875	Ç.	#46 87E	6426 422	002 0000	100
Ivvalo 3 Councillor Derrancesca	289,548	58,000	2,015	\$0.75	80.00	\$43,500	Ş	004 076	074 0050	07/07/0	C70'CL4
Ward 4 Councillor Yeung Racco	\$89,548		4352	RO 75	000	200	3 6	000,000	\$133,048F	\$118,548]	\$14,500
Ward 5 Councillor Shofman	074	2000	7	2 1		000,100	3	\$31,500	\$121,048	\$110,548	\$10,500
	040,500	יטטטיי	i,uor	30.75	#0.00	\$52,499	20	\$52.499	S142 047	\$404 54B3	447 400
Totals	\$855,298 811,250	811,250	9,115			\$479,375		\$479.375	E4 224 67E E4 224 67E	EA 224 GTES	000
								10 to 10 to 1	() () () () ()	0101000000	1000

OPTION #3 - Regional population assignment ≒ 1/3 & Ward Council population rate changed to \$0.625 & Business rate change to \$4.045 (AVG)

1.7

Other	\$0.50 \$0.625 \$4.045
Ward Per Dafa	
Regional 33,33%	
Mayor 75%	
Inputs Population assignment	Discretionary population rate (MayorReg.) Discretionary population rate (Ward) Discretionary business rate

				Discre	tionary I	Discretionary Expenditure Formula	Formula		Barda	Randrat Composition	400
	Colouiso								Span	1001110011	
Councillor	רמומי ונו	Appu	Арриед Џата	Applied Rates	Rates	Discretionary sub-totals	r sub-totals	Total	Revised	Original	
	& Benefits							Discretionary	2011	2013	Change
		Рор.	# of Bus.	Pop.	Bus.	Residential	Business	Opt2 Avg Res&Bus.	Budget	Burdaet	,
Mayor Bevilacqua	\$138,916	221,250		\$0.50	\$4.04	\$110,825	Q.	\$640 GOE	4040 544	770 0704	3
Regional Councillor Rosafi	889 548	02 333		A CA	70.79		3 6	270,0114	サイナの「カナナ	140,047	<u></u>
Beginnal Coupeilles Michael Di Dice	071.006			3 (3)	1		3	\$49,167	\$138,715	\$163,298	(\$24,583)
ייבקוסיומן כסתוזכוווסו ואינכוזמפן כן ביומצפ	90%,040	_		00°0¢	\$4.04	\$49,167	G	\$49.167	\$138 715	£163 298	/\$24 582\
Regional Councillor Deb Schulte	\$89,548	98,333		\$0.50	54 04		Ç.	70 P OP 0	1 0000		(A) 1 (A)
Ward 1 Councillor laftate	880 518	E2 E00	37.7	62	6		2	/OI '65-0	1017'05'LA		(\$24,583)
Majoral O Comments of the Comment of	1000	٠.	2	0000	40,40		57,921	\$40,984	\$130,532		\$9 734
Ward & Councillor Carella	\$89,548	62,500	1212	\$0.63	\$4.04		\$4 903	\$43,986	8422 K41		0.40
Ward 3 Councillor DeFrancesca	\$89,548	58,000	2.015	80.63	\$4.04	538 250	\$P 454	7007 776	C101010		477
Ward 4 Councillor Yeung Racen	889 548		4 252	9	4			1.07**	おせかっついか		\$15,401
Mand & Onionillos Orefores	25000	14,000	725	2000	\$0.50 \$0.50	002,024	\$17,604	\$43,854	\$133,402		\$22.854
water a company of entitlery	369,546}	70,000	1,061	\$0.63	- \$4.04		\$4,292	\$48,042	\$137 50N	Ī	670 070
Totals	\$855,298 811,250	811,250	9,115			\$442.502		5/70 270	1000 000 00	0+0,+21#	740'0' e
								770'0 144	71,004,017	41,554,075	(\$2.9)

Council Budgets Task Force - Option Detail

OPTION #4 - Regional population assignment = 1/3 & Ward Council population rate changed to \$0.67 & Business rate change to \$2.70 (2/3 res. - 1/3 bus.)

				The state of the s
inputs	Mayor	Regional	Ward	Mayor Regional Ward Other
Population assignment	75%	33,33%	Per Data	
Discretionary population rate (Mayor/Reg.)				\$0.50
Discretionary population rate (Ward)				\$0.667
Discretionary business rate				\$2.697

				Discre	tionary E	Discretionary Expenditure Formula	Formula		Buda	Budget Comparison	nos
Councillor	Salaries	Appli	Applied Data	Applied Rates	Rates	Discretionary sub-totals	y sub-totals	Total	Revised	Original	
	& Benefits							Discretionary	2011	2011	Change
		Pop,	# of Bus.	Pop.	Bus,	Residential	Business	Opt 4-66Res+.33Bus.)	Budget	Budget))
Mayor Bevilacqua	\$138,916	221,250		09'0\$	\$2.70	\$110,625	\$0	\$110.625	8249 541		U-B
Regional Councillor Rosati	\$89,548	98,333		\$0.50	\$2,70	\$49,167	· &	449 167	\$12B 74A		1003 /03/
Regional Councillor Michael Di Biase	\$89.548	98,333		\$0.50	\$2.70	\$49.167	Ş	797079	10000		(460, 500)
Regional Compilier Deb Schulbe	200 E/B	•		6	0 0		3 6	701 1019	C1 / OC! &		(474,080)
יייי איייייייייייייייייייייייייייייייי	מראימחמי			OD TO	ام/ بها الم/ بها	101, 844	Đặ.	X49,167	\$138,715		(\$24,583)
Ward 1 Councillor larrate	\$89,548	62,500	475	\$0.67	\$2.70	\$41,667	\$1,281	\$42,948	\$132,496		811 698
Ward 2 Councillor Carella	\$89,548	62,500	1,212	\$0.67	\$2.70	\$41,667	\$3,269	\$44.936	\$134.484		84 2 F86
Ward 3 Councillor DeFrancesca	\$89,548	58,000	2,015	\$0.67	\$2,70	\$38,667	\$5,434	\$44.101	8133 649		845424
Ward 4 Councillor Yeung Racco	\$89,548	42,000	4,352	\$0.67	\$2,70	\$28,000	\$11,737	\$39,737	\$129.285		#4B 737
Ward 5 Councillor Shefman	\$89,548	70,000	1,061	\$0.67	\$2.70	\$46,657	\$2,861	\$49,528	\$139,076	\$124.548	\$14.528
Totals	\$855,298 811,250	811,250	9,115		1	\$454,794		\$479,377	\$1,334,677	∭ ¢>	\$2.0

OPTION #5 - Regional population assignment = 1/3 & Ward Council population rate changed to \$0.67 & Business rate change to \$2.70 (3/4 res. -1/4 bus.)

Inputs.
Population assignment 75% 33.33% Per Data

1.8

\$0.50 \$0.688 \$2.023 Inputs.
Population assignment
Discretionary population rate (Mayor/Reg.)
Discretionary population rate (Ward)
Discretionary population rate (Ward)

				Discret	ionary I	Discretionary Expenditure Formula	Formula		Budge	Budget Comparison	nos
relii/caneO.	Salaries	Appli	Applied Data	Applied Rates	Rates	Discretionary sub-totals	y sub-totals	Total	Revised	Original	
	& Benefits			-				Discretionary	2011	2011	Change
		Рор.	# of Bus.	Pop.	Bus.	Residential	Business	Opt575Res +.25Bus.)	Budget	Budget	
Mayor Bevilacqua	\$138,916	221,250		\$0.50	\$2,02	\$410,625	8	\$110,625	\$249,541	\$249,541	8
Regional Councillor Rosati	\$89,548	98,333		\$0.50	\$2.02	\$49,167	\$	\$49,167	\$138,715	\$163,298	(\$24.583)
Regional Councillor Michael Di Blase	\$89,548	98,333		\$0.50	\$2.02	\$49,167	8	\$49,167	\$138,715	\$163,298	(\$24,583)
Regional Councillor Deb Schulte	\$89,548	98,333		\$0.50	\$2.02	\$49,167	9	\$49,167	\$138,715	\$163,298	(\$24,583)
Ward 1 Councillor lafrate	\$89,548	62,500	475	\$0.69	\$2.02	\$42,969	1964	\$43,930	\$133,478	\$120,798	\$12,680
Ward 2 Councillor Carella	\$89,548	62,500	1,212	\$0.69	\$2.02	\$42,969	\$2,452	\$45,421	\$134,969	\$120,798	\$14,171
Ward 3 Councillor DeFrancesca	\$89,548	58,000	2,015	\$0.69	\$2.02	\$39,875	\$4,076	\$43,951	\$133,499	\$118,548	\$14,951
Ward 4 Councillor Yeung Racco	\$89,548	42,000	4,352	\$0.69	\$2.02	\$28,875	\$8,803	\$37,678	\$127,226	\$110.548	\$16,678
Ward 5 Councillor Shefman	\$88,548	70,000	1,061	\$0.69	\$2.02	\$48,125	\$2,146	\$50,274	\$139,819	\$124,548	\$15,271
Totals	\$855,298 811,250	311,250	9,115			\$460,939		\$479,376	\$1,334,676 \$1,334,675	\$1,334,675	\$1.2

Council Budgets Task Force - Option Detail

		lson		Change			(3)	(\$24,584)	(\$24.584)	(\$24.584)	844 608	2000	000'510	\$15,101	\$18,737	\$14,528	(\$2.0)
		audger comparison	Originat	201.1	Broken	TO STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PA	1.40,047	\$163,298	\$163,298	\$163,298	1842 ACT 8	#407 708	0010010	\$118,548	\$110,548	\$124,548	\$1,334,675
(S)	106	and	Revised	2011	Budget	4540 E44	40,040	\$138,714	\$138,714	\$138,714	\$132 49E	£134 484	070	9100,048	\$129,285	\$139,076	\$4,334,673
59, 100 (203 ness. 4.1			logi	Discretionary	Opt666Res+,33Bus.	\$440 R9E	(C) (1)	\$49,166	\$49,166	\$49,166	\$42.948	\$44 936	201 273	101 444	\$38,737	\$49,528	\$479,373
0.07 & Busine	Formula	1.5.14	מוס-רסופול	-	Business	\$12.292	GE 469	904.00	\$5,463	\$5,463	\$1,281	\$3,269	\$5.434	644 707	70,110	1.08,2¢	
	Discretionary Expenditure Formula	Discounting and Autority	terrore and the		Residential	\$98,333	¢/12 7/13	100	00/0th	\$43,703	\$41,667	\$41,667	\$38.667	\$28 AAA	100000	/00'0+ 0	\$426,110
000	etionary E	Applied Rates		,,,	Bus.	\$2,70			27.70			\$2.70	\$2.70			46.70	
2014gr 2014gr 301667	Discr	Anniber			Pap.	\$0.67	\$0.67			40.04	\$0.67	\$0.67	\$0.67	\$0.67	40.67	000	
Ward Coll		Applied Data			# of Bus.	4,556	2.026	2008	2 4 5 6	2,020	475	1,212	2,015	4.352	1084	1001	9,115
255/2000 (Section 20)		Maak			HOD.	147,500	65,555			00000	62500	- OBC 70	58,000	42,000	20,000	2000	639,164
20% mayor - 2		Salaries	9. Bonofito	& Delicillo		\$138,916	\$89,548	889 548	974 098	200	240,000 0.1	488,04g	\$89,548	\$89,548	\$89,548		\$855,298 639,164
OPPTONING REPUBLICATION REPUBL			Johnson			ivayor bevilacqua	Regional Councillor Rosati	Regional Councillor Michael Di Biase	Regional Councillor Deb Schulbe	March 4 Councillas Infents	Mord o Compiles Casalia	State 2 Councillot Caletta	Ward 3 Councillor De Francesca	Ward 4 Councillor Yeung Racco	Ward 5 Councillor Shefman	1	Totals

1.9

megkule mmente Solok Sassassa Bes Data

				Discretionary	1 w. '	Expenditure Formula	Formula		Budge	Budget Comparison	Son
Cosmeiller	Safaries	Applī	Applied Data	Applied Rates	Rates	Discretionary sub-totals	y sub-totals	Total	Revised	Original	
	& Benefits							Discretionary	2017	2011	Change
		· Pop.	#of Bus,	Pop.	Bus.	Residential	Business	Opt6 - ,66Res 4.33Bus.	Budget	Budnet)
Mayor Bevilacqua	\$138,916	147,500	4,558	\$0,67	\$2.70	\$98,333	\$12,292	S110 695	\$240 EAN	2040 EAA	100/
Regional Councillor Rosati	\$89,548	98.335	3.038	\$0.67	\$2.70		X01 88	741 618	1+212+34	. .	9
Regional Councillor Michael Di Diaco	900	10000	200	1000			1	10/6/4	4103,29U	\$163,298	ક્ર
Cognitive Councillor Interior Di Diasa	010,000	20,000	500,5	70.00	Z.		\$8,194	\$73,751	\$163.299	\$153 298	¥,
Regional Councillor Deb Schulte	\$89,548	98,335	3,038	\$0.67	\$2.70	565.557	48 194	T73 7E4	0160 000		• •
Ward 1 Councillor lafrate	\$80 EAB	82.500	327	2000	90.00			10.00			i A
	010100	27,000	2,7	70.04	44.6		\$1,281I	\$42,948		\$120.798	841 698
ward 2 Councillor Carella	\$89,548	62,500	1.212	\$0.67	\$2.70		53.280	SCO 220			
Ward 3 Councillor DeFrancesca	SEG ALB	58 AAA	2000	1000	46.00		2 0	000		\$140,788	413,000
Tolory of Orient States of the Control of the Contr	200	0000	3	2	97.70		40,434	\$44,101		\$118.548	\$15,107
water 4 Councillor Yeung Macco	489,548	42,000	4,352	\$0.67	\$2,70		\$11,737	430 727	2400 002	0.400	707 076
Ward 5 Councillor Shefman	\$89.548	70,000	1087	40.87	3		2000	10 1 0 1 d		010110	/ウ/ore
	2, 2, 2, 2, 2	20010	2001	1000	₩4.10;		32,001	\$49,528	\$139,076	\$124.548	\$14.528
Signo	\$855,298[737,506]	737,506	9,115		-	\$497.672		067 6554	20,000		
						1	-	2000	2. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4.	4 74	

ax Rate Increase



1.10

FINANCE & ADMIN. CMTEE COMMUNICATION C 3
Date: Mar 21 11 ITEM NO. 2

MEMBER'S RESOLUTION

Attachment 2

Date | WARCH 24 20H HI INANCE AND ADWINSTRATION COMMITTEE |

Fittle: IFEM 2 - COUNCIL BUDGET

Submitted by Regional Councillor Emorasati

Whereas, the 2010 Council Corporate Budget was \$118,000. Of that \$88,000 was spent, which includes \$30,000 for Disaster Relief Payment.

Whereas, any future payment for such purpose should no longer come from Council Corporate Budget but rather from Charity Account.

Whereas, the total spent (excluding \$30,000 Relief Amount) would be \$58,000 out of \$118,000 Budget.

Whereas, the new Council Corporate Budget should be set at \$82,000.

Whereas, the .50 cents amount per person has not changed since 2006.

It is therefore recommended that;

- That \$36,000 be reallocated from Council Corporate Budget to Members of Council on an equal basis as shown on the attached sheet.
- That One of the three options be adopted for Local Councillors only, as shown on the attached sheet.

Respectfully submitted,

doorant.

Gino Rosati

Local and Regional Councillor / Deputy Mayor

Attachments

Council Budget Impact of Increase per resident for Ward Councillors

	Add share of Corporate	Budget			4000	4000 2004	4000	4000 4000].	11						4000	4000		4000	0007	36000
		\$ Impact of	int Increase from .50c to 0.65,65c		3375	0028	6300	10590	44050	24420						3.3							THE STATE OF THE S
			\$/resident 0.65	J V				45500	101750	33.1.2												•	
		\$ Impact of	from .50c 0.6 to .60c			5800			29500	7						2.2				•			
icillors only		ı	\$/resident 0.6	37500					477000														
60c, .65c for Ward Councillors only		of d		3125	3125	2900	2100	3500	14750		·J					7:7							
.60c, .65c fo		ě	#/resident increase from .50c 0.55 to .55c	34375	34375	31900	23100}	38500	162250														
0c to55c, .	luni lu		<u> </u>		ia.		I			•		<u></u>		•	·								
dent from 5	2011 Draft Budget	frog the	0.5				21000		147500		1334675						249541		163298	163298		163298	
Increase/resident from 50c to55c, .			population	62500	62500	58000	42000	20007	295000				_										
				ward1	ward2	ward3	Ward4	wardo	Total \$\$	Council	Budget	\$ Impact	Increase	as % of	Council	Budget	Mayor	Regional	Councillor	Councillor	Regional	Councillor	i otai

3/21/2011

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 15, 2011

Item 1, Report No. 2, of the Finance and Administration Committee, which was adopted, as amended, by the Council of the City of Vaughan on February 15, 2011, as follows:

By approving the Terms of Reference contained in the memorandum from the City Clerk, C8, dated February 15, 2011.

C

COUNCIL EXPENDITURE BUDGETS

The Finance and Administration Committee recommends:

Council Budgets Took Force COMMUNICATION C 2

Date: Mar 29/11 ITEM NO.)

- That a Sub-committee be established to address the issues raised by Members of Council with respect to the Council Expenditure Budgets;
- 2) That the Sub-committee be composed of Councillors Carella, DeFrancesca, lafrate, and Racco;
- That the Sub-committee report back to the Finance and Administration Committee meeting of February 22, 2011; and
- 4) That the following communications be received:
 - a) Councillor Yeung Racco, "Employment and Business by Ward", C2, dated February 4, 2011; and
 - b) Your Support Staff, "Part Time Council Assistants Salary Increase", C3, dated February 1, 2011, submitted by Councillor Carella.

Recommendation

1

The Commissioner of Finance/City Treasurer and the Director of Budgeting and Financial Planning in consultation with the City Clerk recommend:

That the updated Council Expense Budget be incorporated into the 2011/2012 Draft Operating Budget.

Contribution to Sustainability

Sustainability by definition focuses on the ability to maintain a function over a period of time. Responsible budgeting allocates resources in a responsible way to sustain the City's operations and aspirations, balancing both current and future requirements.

Economic Impact

The updated consolidated Council Expenditure Budget for 2011 and 2012 is \$1,334,675 and \$1,359,641, respectively. Individual Council budgets are provided in Attachment # 1 & 2.

Communications Plan

N/A

Purpose

The purpose of this report is to provide the Finance & Administration Committee with additional information and details regarding the basis for developing the Council Expenditure Budget and associated outcome, and incorporate the updated outcome into the Draft 2011/2012 Operating Budget.

.../2

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 15, 2011

Item 1, Finance Report No. 2- Page 2

Background - Analysis and Options

Council Budget Methodology

The Council expenditure budget is developed using a twofold process:

Council Remuneration and Benefits

Council remuneration is based on the City's Council remuneration by-law, 316-2007. On Dec. 14th 2010, Council decided to forgo any increase to their remuneration maintaining remuneration levels to \$115,763 for the City's Mayor and \$72,216 for all other Members of Council.

Benefits applied to the remuneration illustrated above are based on anticipated rates (i.e. CPP, EHT, OMERS, etc.) and past performance.

Discretionary Expenditures

In addition to the above, it is anticipated that Members of Council will require funds to administer their duties and operate their office. These types of expenses are governed by the current Council Member Expense Policy, approved on March 9th, 2010, and resourced through a discretionary budget allocation, which is based on a per resident formula for each Member of Council.

Since 2007, a \$0.50 per resident rate was applied to assigned population figures for the purpose of calculating the discretionary expense budgets. The assigned population figures are based on the following principles:

- Ward Councillors Estimated ward population figures
- · Regional Councillors 50% of the City's total estimated population figures
- Mayor 75% of the City's total estimated population figures

The population figures used to calculate the 2011 budget were provided by the Clerk's Department. These figures are based on the latest York Region overall City of Vaughan population projections. Ward population estimates were derived using the principals of the last ward boundary review and adjusted for trends in approved development, voter's lists, etc. It should be noted, the Clerk's Department anticipates there will be an adjustment in these figures once the 2011 census data is released. For 2012 budget purposes, the average total growth rate, taken from the Region of York's population numbers, was applied equally to each ward, approximately 4.5%. Summarized below are the population figures used for the 2010, 2011, & 2012 budget periods.

Area	2010	2011	2012
Ward 1	70,100	62,500	65,300
Ward 2	61,150	62,500	65,300
Ward 3	52,000	58,000	60,600
Ward 4	54,750	42,000	43,890
Ward 5	43,100	70,000	73,140
Total	281,100	295,000	308.230

Draft Council Budget

The following, summarizes the method used for calculating the Council expense budgets.

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 15, 2011

Item 1, Finance Report No. 2- Page 3

Remuneration and benefits

- + Discretionary budget
- = Council Budget

Enclosed are attachment 1 & 2, which provide detailed calculations using the above methodology for each Member of Council 2011 & 2012 budget. Below is a brief summary of the outcomes of this process.

Member of Council	Bud	get
Wieliner of Council	2011	2012
Mayor Bevilacqua	249,541	255,080
Regional Councillor Rosati	163,298	166,967
Regional Councillor Di Biase	163,298	166,967
Regional Councillor Schulte	163,298	166,967
Ward 1 Councillor lafrate	120,798	122,559
Ward 2 Councillor Carella	120,798	122,559
Ward 3 Councillor DeFrancesca	118,548	120,209
Ward 4 Councillor Yeung Racco	110,548	111,854
Ward 5 Councillor Shefman	124,548	126,479
Total	1,334,675	1,359,641

Discretionary Council Budget Account Allocation & Calendarization

Once the Council discretionary budgets are confirmed, there will be a requirement for each Member of Council to allocate their approved discretionary budget to specific account lines, within the parameters of the Council Member Expense Policy. Until that time, the discretionary amount will be placed in sundry expense. Once the discretionary budgets are allocated to specific accounts, the next step in the process is to calendarize the account budgets over the 12 months of the year. The Budgeting and Financial Planning department will coordinate and assist Members of Council with this process.

Relationship to Vaughan Vision 2020/Strategic Plan

The Draft 2011/2012 Operating Budget is the process to allocate and approve the resources necessary to continue operations and implement Council's approved plans. This report is consistent with the guidelines and protocol previously set by Council.

Regional Implications

There are no Regional implications associated with this report.

Conclusion

The 2011/2012 Council expenditure budgets and methodology are presented for Council's consideration.

EXTRACT FROM COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 15, 2011

Item 1, Finance Report No. 2- Page 4

Attachments

Attachment #1 – 2011 Draft Council Budget Attachment #2 – 2012 Draft Council Budget Attachment #3 – 2011/2012 Draft Operating Budget Summary

Report prepared by:

John Henry, CMA Director of Budgeting & Financial Planning Ext. 8348

(A copy of the attachments referred to in the foregoing have been forwarded to each Member of Council and a copy thereof is also on file in the office of the City Clerk.)

2011 Draft Council Budget City o. vaughan

									ATTACHMENT 4	AENT 4
73%	Non Discretionary Expenditures	ionary Ex		Estimated total population as at December 21, 2010 295,000	ed total popula cember 21, 2 295,000		Discretionary Expenditures	***************************************		
	. 2011	2044	1,000							
Position	Council Remuneration Note: 1	Council Benefits Note: 2	Non Discretionary Expenditures	Applied population figure	Notes Notes rate	ed Calculate Discretionary Expenditures	Total Discretionary	Draft 2011 Council	2010	Budget
Mayor Bevilacqua	\$115,763	\$23,153	\$138,916	_~	3	\$0.50 \$110.625		\$249 EA	Buaget Cogo 444	Change
Regional Councillor Rosati	\$72,216	\$17,332	\$89.548	147.500	ن ص				#KUK, 111	(0.10,20)
Regional Councillor Michael Diseas	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1			•		97,574	\$163,298	\$163,591	(\$293)
	\$72,216	\$17,332	\$89,548	147,500	က —	\$0.50 \$73,750	5 \$73,750	\$163,298	\$163,401	(\$103)
Regional Councillor Deb Schulte	\$72,216	\$17,332	\$89,548	147,500	- R	\$0.50 \$73,750	\$73,750	\$163,298	\$163,954	(\$656)
Ward 1 Councillor lafrate	\$72,216	\$17,332	\$89,548	62,500	3 80	\$0.50 \$31,250	\$31,250	\$120,798	\$129,161	(\$8,363)
Ward 2 Councillor Carella	\$72,216	\$17,332	\$89,548	62,500	380	\$0.50 \$31,250	\$31,250	\$120,798	\$124,346	(\$3,548)
Ward 3 Councillor DeFrancesca	\$72,216	\$17,332	\$89,548	58,000	3 80	\$0.50	\$29,000	\$118,548	\$119,704	(\$1,156)
Ward 4 Councillor Yeung-Racco	\$72,216	\$17,332	\$89,548	42,000	3 40	\$6,50 \$21,000	\$21,000	\$110,548	\$120,862	(\$10,314)
Ward 5 Councillor Shefman	\$72,216	\$17,332	\$89,548	7á,000	3 \$0	\$0.50 \$35,000	\$35,000	\$124,548	\$115,176	\$9,372
Totals	\$693,491	\$161,807	\$855,298 Note 4			\$479,375	\$478,375	l .	\$1,334,675 \$1,352,306	÷17,631
NOTES;			¥			+	n m	ပ		

1: Council Remuneration is based on By-Law Number 316-2007, Council voted not to take a pay adjustment on December 14, 2010.

2: 2011 Council Benefits were calculated based on actual ratios (20% for Mayor and 24% for Council Members) 3. Discretionary Expenses:(based on est. population figures as at December 21, 2010)

*The Mayor's discretionary expenses are calculated using 75% of est.total population, 295,000 =221,250 (x\$0.50, as per past practice)
*The Regional Councillor's discretionary expenses are calculated using 50% of est. total population, 295,000 =147,500 (x\$0.50 as per past practice)
*The Ward Councillor's discretionary expenses are based on estimated population for each Ward -(x \$0.50 as per past practice)
4: Changes between 2010 and 2011 Council Non Discretionary Expenses:
1otal 2010 Non Discretionary Expenditures:

902,731 (10,800) (21,600) 855,298 (15,033)Reduction of Shared Lease Cost (Absorbed In Council Corp Budget) Removal of Council Incidental Expense as per policy Adjustment in benefit rates to better reflect actuals

Total 2011 Non Discretionary Expenditures:

2012 Draft Council Budget City of Vaughan

										ATTA CURRENT	AKENIT O
	Non Discretionary Expenditures	ionary Ex	penditures	Estimated total population as at December 21, 2010 308,230	ed total populaticember 21, 20 308,230		Discretionary Expenditures	nary ires			MENIC
	2000	0,500								-	
Position	Council Remuneration Note: 1	Council Benefits Note: 2	lotal Non Discretionary Expenditures	Applied population floure	so Applied Zo rate			Total Discretionary	Draft 2012 Council	Draft 2011	Budget
				,	_	- Typelitalies	1	expenditures	Budget	Budget	Increase
Mayor Bevilacqua	\$115,763	\$23,731	\$139,494	231,173	ه ه	\$0.50 \$115,586	586	\$115,586	\$255,080	\$249,541	\$5,539
Regional Councillor Rosati	\$72,216	\$17,693	606'68\$	154,115	о <u>ж</u> ————————————————————————————————————	\$0.50	\$77,058	\$77,058	\$166,967	\$163,298	\$3,669
Regional Councillor Michael Di Blase	\$72,216	\$17,693	606'68\$.	154,115	3 &	\$0.50	\$77,058	\$77,058	\$166,967	\$163,298	\$3,669
Regional Councillor Deb Schulte	\$72,216	\$17,693	\$89,909	154,115	- & 	\$0.50	\$77,058	\$77,058	\$166,967	\$163,298	\$3,689
Ward 1 Councillor lafrate	\$72,216	\$17,693	\$89,909	65,300	<u>ဗ</u>	\$0.50 \$32,	\$32,650	\$32,650	\$122,559	\$120,798	\$1.761
Ward 2 Councillor Carella	\$72,216	\$17,693	\$89,909	65,300	3 &0	\$0.50 \$32,650	950	\$32,650	\$122,559	\$120,798	\$1.761
Ward 3 Councillor DeFrancesca	\$72,216	\$17,693	\$89,909	009'09	3.	\$0.50	300	\$30,300	\$120,209	\$118,548	\$1,661
Ward 4 Councillor Yeung-Racco	\$72,216	\$17,693	\$89,909	43,890	3	\$0.50 \$21,945	945	\$21,945	\$111,854	\$110,548	\$1,306
Ward 5 Councillor Shefman	\$72,216	\$17,693	606'68\$	73,140	3 \$0.50	50 \$36,570	570	\$36,570	\$126,479	\$124,548	68 28 28
Totals	\$693,491	\$165,275	\$858,766		ì	\$500,875	875	\$500,875	\$1,359,641	\$1,334,675	\$24,966
									•		

NOTES:

⋖

O

ii m

^{1:} Council Remuneration is based on By-Law Number 316-2007. Council voted not to take a pay adjustment on December 14, 2010.
2: 2012 Council Benefits were increased over the 2011 Council Benefit rates primarily related to threshold and OMER's increase (20.5% for Mayor and 24,5% for Council Members)
3. Discretionary Expenses:(based on est. 2011 population ligure grossed up by avg total growth rate)
*The Mayor's discretionary expenses are calculated using 75% of est. total population, 308,230 =154,115 (x\$0.50 as per past practice)
*The Regional Councillor's discretionary expenses are calculated using 50% of est. total population, 308,230 =154,115 (x\$0.50 as per past practice)
*The Ward Councillor's discretionary expenses are based on estimated population for each Ward -(x \$0.50 as per past practice)

ATTACHMENT 3	2012 Yotal Budget Variance	*		20000				0.00%	0 0.00%					2000	%00'0 0		0 0.00%				0 0.00%						0 0,00%			0 0,00%						%00°0 U	0 0,00%
	2012 Account Reallocati	\$ \$	c	0		. 0	0	Ф	G &		0	0 (9 0			9	σ,	5 0	a	0	•	o (• 6	: 0	•	0	0	4	a i				0	•	•	a (-
	2012 Inc/(Dec)																											_	-				_	_	_	.	-
	Budget 2012	•		ō	693,485	176,840	a	96	870,325		0	5 ¢	2 4	G	Ġ.	0	0 (9 6	0	o	a i	9 6	• •	0	o	o	c	0	5 (, 0	0		c)	9,	<u>-</u>
	et Variance	**	200	0.00%	0.00%	2,00%	-100.00%	-100.00%	-12.69%		100,00%	1,000,001	0.00%	-100.00%	-100.00%	100.00%	400.00%	100.00%	-100.00%	-100.00%	100,00%	-100 00s	-100,00%	-100.00%	-200,00%	*100,00%	-100.00%	6,00%	200,000	-100.005	-100.003%	-100,00%	-100,00%	-100,00%	0.00%	200,002	homisann.
ጵ	2011 Account Resilocation	44	c		છ	O	(95,965)	(8,926)	(126,497)		(62,786)	10.89.0	לאניניים מיניניים	(6,150)	(28,200)	(3,800) (500)	(2005) (2008)	(02,530)	(34,050)	(2,850)	(12,300)	(5,380)	(10,150)	(2,400)	(850)	(3,500)	(7,550)	77.0227	(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	(21.800)	(21,970)	(12,763)	(3,064)	(10,006)	0 00 00	(12,000)	**************************************
ng Budget ortment Summa	2011 Account Realfocation	45	_	0	a	9	(95,965)	(8/376)	(104,891)		(62,785)	(2 980)	0	(6,150)	(28,200)	(1,80d)	(008, 52)	(2,530)	(34,050)	(2,850)	(11,300) (7,500)	(5,380)	(10,150)	(2,400)	(850)	(3,500)	inee's)	(FCP AT)	(0.250)	(21,800)	(21,970)	(22,783)	(3,064)	(10,005)	ט (ממט <i>בינו</i>	(5.660)	
Coy of Vaughan 2 Draft Operali endlures - Depi	2011 lac/(Dec)	₹ 7•	4	٦	<u>(8)</u>	0	φ,	(21,600)	[22,600]	•	90	a	•	a	0		, 0	•	۰	ο (⇒ ¢	9 (3	0	a	o	a 6	-	•	, 0	• •	0	•	a	a (9 6		
Cty of Vaughan 2011 - 2012 Draft Operating Budget Revenues and Esqentiliures - Department Summary	Budger 2011		Q I	a	693,485	176,840	9.0	0	870,325	•		o	0	0		3 C		Ø	O	0 (- c	0	0	ø	0	0 0	5 C	o	0	٥	٥	0	0	9 (> 0	0	•
Rey	Budget 2010	***-	0	0	653,491	176,840	2000	21,600	336,822	3786	1,150	2,980	0	6,150	26,200	2005	23,800	2,530	34,030	2,850	5.520	S,380	10,150	2,400	850	505,5	3	14,923	2,250	008°TZ	21,970	12,783	3,064	10,005	12.000	5,560	
	2010 Actual Forecast [±]		(3,468)	(3,468)	705,049	154,291	2017,00 C715 8	5,760	570,352	57 430	15	2,292	(133)	2,692	5,200	}	11,461	297	21,559	(423) 7.30F	3,244	776	6,954	2,042		1	3	5,044	303	15,576	19,963	3,380	1,780	20,652	19	2,648	
	Actual 2009		(4,658)	(4,658)	166,553	155,838	7.558	21,600	960,754	34185	40	5,178	52	2,579	4,516 2,571	0	15,604	457	17,515	3,248	0	0	9,493	2,317	777	797'E		8,455	Š	17,501	21,213	φ į	2,758	40,006	12,759	2,800	
		016-City Council	nue Sponsorships	ane	Labour Accounts 030 Council Remuneration 273 Daneir	Part Time	Benefits - Part time	Incidental Exp. & Allow.	Labour Account Subtotal	Non Labour Accounts 00 Mileage	C.E.A. Mileago	407-ETR Toll Charges	Memberships/Dues/Fees	Meals & Meal Allowances	Training & Development	Telephone Charges	Cellular Telephones	Subscriptions/Publications	Mailings Comban & Mosketon	Advertidus	Community Gifts & Promotions	Community Hosting Events	Office Supplies	Contestances	Computer supplies Office Fords & Firmitize	Computer Hardware/Software	Mtce, & Repairs - Equip.	Copier/Fax Lease Charges	Corporate Promotions	Printing 1	Postal Services	Community Event Tickets	pental Language Actions	Sannorshins	Professional Fees	Gas/Olesel - Vehicles	
		010-C	Ravenue 3805 S	Keyenus	Labor 7030 7197	§ §	7018	TED!	Cano	Non L 7100	7101	7303	7105	315	7115	72.20	7122	722	327	7135	7150	7151	7200	7205	7210	727	7215	722D	7221	7227	7225	7427	7750	7467	7520	7.560	

19	% 0.00% 0.00% 5.37% 6.00% 6.42%	L87%
2012 Total Budget Varianca	\$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
2012 Account Resilocati	<i>پ</i>	· a
2012 inc/(Dec) 1	\$ 0 0 0 0 24,741 0 225 24,965	24,965
Budget ZO12	485,58¢ 485,58¢ 3,730 489,31¢	2,359,642
et Variance	7. -100,00% 0,00% 0,00% 2282_98% -100,00% 30,62% 30,62%	330%
2011 Total Budget Varlance	\$ (13,233) 0 0 0 441,506 (25) 560 108,866	(17,631)
idi Account Reell ocati on	438,091 (25) (25)	₽
2011 1 Inc/(Dec)	\$ 0 0 3,415 560 560 5,875	(17,625)
Budget 2011	460,845 0 460,845 0 3,505	1,334,675
Budget 2019	13,233 0 0 19,339 2,245 2,545 355,484	1,352,306
2010 Actual Forecast ¹	8,715 0 0 5,303 (58) 2,245 2,04,115	1,170,467
Actual 2009	10,440 0 0 19,289 2,445 231,705	1,192,459
	7690 Wiroless/internet Commun. 7640 Cable TV/Satellite Service 7698 Grouped Expenses 7699 Sundry Expenses 7700 Chgs. from Other Depts. 7700 This, to Reservas-insurance Non Labour Account Subtotal	Total Expense

NOTE 1: The 2010 Actual Forecast is based on year to date amounts as of October 31 plus 2009 November and December data.

NOTE 2: The discretionary budget was reallocated to Sundry Expenses. Members of Council will reallocate to other accounts once the 2011-12 Operating Budget is approved.

Furfaro, Cindy

rom:

Simmonds, Tim

Sent:

Friday, February 04, 2011 4:22 PM

To:

Racco, Sandra

Cc:

Furfaro, Cindy; Kam, Shirley; Volante, Sandra; Harris, Clayton

Subject: Employment and Businesses by Ward

Councillor Racco:

Below is the information that you requested regarding number of businesses per City Ward.

The department arrived at these numbers using the Vaughan Business Directory (Data gathered Q2/Q3 2010) and then overlaying the Ward boundaries with Traffic Zones. We used this method because our data collection does not identify businesses by Ward. Therefore, please note that their is a small margin of error.

F		
WARD	Total Number of Businesses	Total Employment (Full/Part & Seasonal)
1.	475	11,266
	1,212	19,885
່	2,015	30,380
4	4,352	86,763
5	1,061	11,961

Tim Simmonds Director



Economic Development Department City of Vaughan | 2141 Major Mackenzie Drive | Vaughan, ON L6A 1T1 tel: (905) 832-8585 ext. 8427 | fax: (905) 832-6248 tim.simmonds@vaughan.ca www.vaughan.ca

ECT | ACCOUNTABILITY | DEDICATION

For Februs 111
Submitted by Councillor Carella
C. 3

Feb 1, 2011

To Mayor & Members of Council

PART TIME COUNCIL ASSISTANTS SALARY INCREASE

The current hourly salary for this group of employees is \$15.00 per hour when working with the Executive Assistants (workload assistance) and is paid by the councillor's budgeted part time salary allocation. When relieving for the Executive Assistants providing coverage for their vacations, sickness, training courses and lieu days they are paid \$17.00 per hour and this is paid by the clerks department.

This renumeration has not been adjusted for the past fifteen years, while the rest of the corporation has received increases of 1-2%, no adjustments were made to this group. In fact the very people that they relieve for had a major wage band adjustment going from wage band four to wage band six and still no adjustment to the part timers.

This group of employees are more than due an adjustment. At this time as you are preparing your budgets for the upcoming year we are asking that you consider this team of committed employees and provide a fair and equitable renumeration.

The Executive Assistants are paid at wage band six and depending on their length of service, most are paid at the top of the scale at that wage band.

Ideally our recommendation is to attain step one of level one (approx. \$22 per hour). We are asking you to review this request in view of the Vaughan Vision and giving us the same consideration the rest of the corporation and yourselves have received over the past several years.

We are a loyal team of support staff fully dedicated to the Councillor's we work with and hope that you will review our proposal.

Regards,

Your Support Staff

			d